

---

**GENESIS II  
ADVANCED LUNAR OUTPOST**

**Space Architecture Monograph, Vol. 4**

*design and illustrations by*

Greg R. Buscher  
Adam L. Dernler  
Peter J. Dewitt  
Joseph P. Fieber  
Peter Frunceck  
Gregory S. Gorski  
Janis Huebner-Moths  
Deborah Kishony  
Scott Maner  
Kerry L. Paruleski  
Keith Prudlow  
Patrick Rebholz  
Daniel Rhone  
Curtis W. Schroeder  
Christopher Trotier

*report written by*

Joseph P. Fieber  
Janis Huebner-Moths  
Kerry L. Paruleski  
NASA/USRA Teaching Assistants

*Edited By*

Gary T. Moore  
Project Director and Faculty Advisor

June 11, 1991

---

---

## GENESIS II: ADVANCED LUNAR OUTPOST

Space Architecture Design Group; report written by Joseph P. Fieber, Janis Huebner-Moths, and Kerry L. Paruleski; edited by Gary T. Moore

### ABSTRACT

This research and design study — the fourth in the Space Architecture Monograph Series — investigated advanced lunar habitats for astronauts and mission specialists on the Earth's moon. The work is based on design requirements and constraints set forth in previous monographs in this series (e.g., Cordes, 1989) and in research studies done especially for Genesis II, and supported by the development of the Genesis I Lunar Outpost (Hansmann & Moore, 1990). Design recommendations were based on environmental response to the lunar environment, human habitability (human factors and environment-behavior research), transportability (structural and materials systems with least mass), constructability (minimizing extravehicular time), construction dependability and resilience, and suitability for NASA lunar research missions in the early 21st century. The recommended design utilizes lunar lava tubes, with construction being a combination of Space Station Freedom-derived hard modules and light-weight Kevlar-laminate inflatable structures. The proposed habitat includes research laboratories and a biotron, crew quarters and crew support facility, mission control, health maintenance facility, maintenance work area and extravehicular chamber, storage and logistics facility, and special crew areas for psychological retreat, privacy, and contemplation. Furniture, specialized equipment, and lighting are included in the analysis and design. Drawings include base master plans, construction sequencing, overall architectural configuration, detailed floor plans, sections and axonometrics, interior perspectives, and construction details.

This study and report were supported by an Advanced Design Program grant from NASA/Universities Space Research Association (NASA/USRA) to the Regents of the University of Wisconsin System, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Center for Architecture and Urban Planning Research. USRA's Advanced Design Program operates under Grant NASW-4435 from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

## OTHER MONOGRAPHS IN THE SPACE ARCHITECTURE MONOGRAPH SERIES

1. Space Architecture: Lunar Base Scenarios, by Anthony J. Schnarsky, Edwin G. Cordes, Thomas M. Crabb & Mark K. Jacobs (edited by Edwin G. Cordes, Gary T. Moore & Stephen J. Frahm). ISBN 0-938744-59-3, R88-1, 1988; pp. vi + 80, illus.; \$10.00.
2. Genesis Lunar Outpost: Program/Requirements Document for an Early Stage Lunar Outpost, by Dino J. Baschiera & 12 others (edited by Edwin G. Cordes). ISBN 0-938744-61-5, R89-1, 1989; pp. xix + 89, illus.; \$10.00.
3. Genesis Lunar Outpost: Criteria and Design, by Dino J. Baschiera, Joseph P. Fieber, Timothy L. Hansmann, Janis Huebner-Moths & Gary T. Moore (edited by Timothy L. Hansmann & Gary T. Moore). ISBN 0-938744-69-0, R90-1, 1990; pp. xii + 107, illus.; \$10.00.

## PUBLICATIONS IN ARCHITECTURE AND URBAN PLANNING

Center for Architecture and Urban Planning Research  
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee  
P.O. Box 413  
Milwaukee, WI 53201-0413

Report No. R91-2  
ISBN-0-938744-74-7

Additional Copies of this and other monographs in the series are available for \$10.00 prepaid by writing to the above address.

---

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Space Architecture Design Group undertook a designed a second generation advanced lunar outpost, called Genesis II, during the 1990-91 academic year. The design was based on internal and external critiques of the first generation lunar outpost developed in 1989-90, two internships at NASA/Johnson Space Center designing a full-scale mock-up of a lunar inflatable spherical design, three independent study projects conducted during the fall semester of 1990, followed by an integrative design studio in the spring of 1991. A series of experts—scientists, engineers, and architects—from NASA/JSC, Wisconsin aeronautical industry, and area universities—gave technical input and offered critiques at design reviews throughout the process. Students from architecture and engineering were involved and together explored the potential of developing a second generation lunar research and habitation base utilizing lunar lava tubes and inflatable and hard module construction.

In the after shock of the space shuttle Challenger disaster in 1986, scientists, professionals, and citizens around the world have been rethinking the role humans can and should play in space. Current discussion and planning has moved away from “one shot scenarios” and space transportation systems into the arena of long duration missions and issues of possible extraterrestrial habitation. Plans for the near future in space development include a permanently inhabited space station, an inhabited lunar colony, and a possible joint United States/Soviet Union mission to Mars.

### Space Architecture

For the first time it has been realized by NASA that the long duration of these planned events requires the involvement of architects, interior designers, industrial designers, planners, and other environmental design professionals in order to ensure environments suitable for human habitation and performance. To date, space design has been the almost exclusive province of the engineer and that profession’s highly technical orientation. Long-range planning, systems integration, construction sequencing, and interiors environmentally suitable for humans are all areas in which the design professions have demonstrable expertise and in which they can exercise a positive and much needed influence. Acknowledging this, engineers involved in shaping our future in space have begun to collaborate with architects and other environmental designers in the design process. Thus has evolved space architecture, as the field is becoming known.

This report summarizes the major design criteria and concepts which lead to the evolutionary development of Genesis II, including issues related to health and safety, psychological and social responses to living and working in a confined, isolated environment, habitability, underground architecture, interior architecture, construction technology, near term high technology materials, structural analyses and structural systems, site planning, and long-range master planning.

### History

In the spring of 1991, a group of students under the direction of the authors researched and designed this second generation advanced lunar outpost, Genesis II. Genesis II is planned to provide housing, research space, mission control space, and all amenities for 11 astronauts to live on the moon for durations up to 20 months with normal change-outs of 6 to 9 months. It will serve as an evolutionary, long-term testbed for all materials, processes, and development strategies to be employed in a mature lunar colony for the next 20 years, and as a research and construction technology testbed for all processes to be employed in the exploration and settlement of Mars. At the present time, it is expected that the first lift-off for such a lunar outpost would be around 2005, with IOC (initial operating configuration) achieved by 2015.

### Mission Objectives

As currently envisioned, a lunar outpost should include base master planning and habitat design for a mission that could be focused on five experimental systems:

- a. lunar mining and production analysis for lunar oxygen and helium3,
- b. lunar construction technology testbed,
- c. closed system ecological life support facility (biosphere),
- d. lunar far-side observatory, and
- e. human factors and environment-behavior research facility.

### Methodology and Procedure

Development of the site plan and design for a second generation Genesis II focused on the central telerobotic command center running these mission operations, on the research facilities, on the crew support habitat, and on other ancillary spaces needed to assure quality of life.

Design issues considered included base master planning and phasing, human factors, psychological and social reactions to long-duration space missions, high-tech materials and construction technology, lighting, mechanical, and HVAC/ECLSS systems, energy systems, and overall design aesthetics. The design is based on a facility programming document produced by a group of undergraduate and graduate students in the fall of 1989 (Baschiera & others, 1989), a final design document produced in the spring of 1990 based on first year study results (Hansmann & Moore, 1990; Moore, Baschiera, Fieber & Moths, 1990), an intern report completed for NASA/JSC during the summer of 1990 (Connell, Fieber, Paruleski & Torres, 1990), and three independent study projects completed during the fall of 1990 (Fieber, 1990; Huebner-Moths, 1991; Paruleski, 1990).

Areas of detailed architectural and engineering (A/E) design investigation were conducted throughout the year as part of these reports and the final integrative design studio. These studies included, but were not limited to, the following: investigation of the implications of new, hi-tech, light-weight, high strength materials especially elastomers and thin films; character of the lunar environment; extraction of design relevant information from previous space experience, analogous situations, and simulations, e.g., Mir and Skylab, Antarctica and Navy submarines, and Tektite; and human factors analysis of the minimum space requirements for different lunar habitation and research functions in 1/6th gravity.

The final integrative design studio was conducted as a professional team project, with the faculty advisory directing the project, and the three teaching assistants and other advanced students assuming the role of team leaders for various specialized functions. The class worked as one team, producing one final project, but were subdivided into various teams with specific tasks and deadlines as the semester unfolded.

The final design was summarized in a series of 30"x40" drawings, produced both in black-and-white for this report and subsequent technical papers and in color for slide presentations and exhibits. A model showing the final design proposal situated above and in a lunar lava tube was constructed.

### Highlights of the Proposed Design for the Genesis II Lunar Base

The proposed design is situated in a lunar lava tube near the former Apollo 15 site, located at 3 degrees East by 25 degrees North, alongside Hadley Rille at Palus Putredinis (Marsh of Decay).

The master site plan is zoned such that the launch and landing facility is approximately 3 km to the south, the nuclear power facility is 1 km to the north, the mining facility and industrial zone 1.25 km to the west, and the

solar array field and heat-dissipation radiator field near the habitat. The habitat itself is over an opening—drilled and then sintered—to the safe confines of the lava tube (see Figure 3.3-1 ff. starting on p. 25 in the body of the report).

Following extensive telerobotic research and exploration, the base will be developed in three main stages spanning almost 10 years: (1) emplacement of an assembly facility and the erection of radiation protection truss-work with accompanying regolith covering; (2) integration phase during which the balance of base components are delivered, all surface facilities are constructed, the shaft to the lava tube is drilled, exploded, and sintered, and the structural truss-work and initial crew quarters are lowered through the opening and assembled on the base of the lava tube; and (3) final initial operation configuration during which two large, two-floor inflatables are lowered into the lava tube, inflated, and outfitted for expanded crew quarters and research space, with attendant reconfiguration of the rest of the base for the permanent 11-person base.

The design proposed to NASA is thus based on the use of Space Station Freedom-type hard modules, light-weight structural truss systems, and thin film elastomer inflatables. The habitat and research areas are situated in the safety of the lava tube, away from radiation, sharp temperature fluctuations, and deadly solar flares. Storage and vertical circulation to and from the surface occurs in a Shuttle-C type hard module installed in the sintered opening between the lava tube and the surface. Only logistics modules and the EVA chamber (for extra-vehicular activities) remain on the surface, thought protected by truss-work covered with a minimum of 0.5 m of lunar regolith. The basic configuration—a giant H on its side—can be seen in Figure 3.8.1-2 on p. 32 in the body of the report. Details—shown in a series of plans and axonometric drawings—of the two-story habitation and laboratory inflatables in the midst of the lava tube, the crew support module with mission operations and health maintenance facilities on the bottom of the lava tube, and the logistics and EVA modules on the lunar surface can be seen in Figures 3.8.1-3 ff. starting on p. 31 and are described in detail in the report. As considerable attention was placed on the quality of life, and the contribution which the designed environment can make to the overall quality of life, especially in confined quarters, more detailed designs are shown in larger scale drawings for individual spaces within the crew habitat areas (e.g., the wardroom/dining room, a game room, the exercise facility, several arrangements of the crew quarters, the personal hygiene facility, a small library, and several reading rooms and a conservatory providing places for retreat and renewal. Detailed designs were also developed, and are shown, for typical mission operations workstations, the operating theater of the health maintenance facility, and special furniture designs for 1/6th gravity body positions.

Technical engineering details are shown for wall sections, hatch connectors, the lighting system, and the structural space frame truss work.

Finally, as this second generation advanced lunar outpost may need to expand into a more mature lunar colony, expansion plans are shown in Figure 3.9-1 on p. 48 for expansion beyond IOC to accommodate increments of 5-6 additional astronauts and mission specialists up to the limit of the size of the cavern of the initially selected sub-surface lunar lava tube.

## PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report presents the architectural requirements and design for an advanced lunar base habitat and research facility. This advanced lunar outpost, a second generation outpost, is planned for 11 astronauts and mission specialists at the former Apollo 15 site, located at 3 degrees East by 25 degrees North, alongside Hadley Rille at Palus Putredinis (Marsh of Decay). Construction would commence in 2005 with IOC (initial operating configuration) achieved by 2015. Design and construction will be led by a team of astronauts, scientists, architects, and engineers working together to build a permanently occupied habitat on the Moon.

Faculty and students of the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee School of Architecture and Urban Planning (UW-Milwaukee/SARUP) have been actively involved in the research, analysis, and design of extraterrestrial environments since 1987. In 1987 the School began working with the Astronautics Corporation of America's, a world-wide aeronautics and aerospace company headquartered in Milwaukee, to define space design issues and criteria. In the fall of 1987, the Department of Architecture offered its first studio in "Space Architecture: Lunar Base Scenarios." The studio resulted in the first in our Space Architecture Monograph Series (Schnarsky, Cordes, Crabb & Jacobs, 1988). The School's Center for Architecture and Urban Planning Research (CAUPR) hosted a series of lectures and workshops by leading members of the aerospace industry and nationally recognized experts, made slide and video presentations at national meetings including the 3rd, 4th, and 5th Annual Summer Conferences of the Universities Space Research Association (e.g., Cordes, Moore & Hansmann, 1989), and wrote a series of articles about space research and design (e.g., Schnarsky, 1988).

In 1989 CAUPR was awarded a \$115,000, three-year grant from NASA/Universities Space Research Association (NASA/USRA) to conduct an Advanced Design Program in Space Architecture. Created as a result of that grant, the Space Architecture Design Group has been responsible for research and technical papers, lectures, talks, and exhibits at local, state, and national conferences, and has received six research and design awards (for a complete listing of available publications, exhibits, and awards, please see Appendix C).

Following on the results of our work in 1990-91 to develop an initial lunar base (Hansmann & Moore, 1990; Moore, Baschiera, Fieber & Moths, 1990), the current report summarizes the main performance requirements for a second generation lunar base, discusses the design criteria and issues, and presents a design proposal — called Genesis II — based on accumulated research and design trade studies.

The Space Architecture Design Group would like to express appreciation for the continued support, encouragement, and opportunities the Advanced Design Program has provided. We thank NASA and USRA for sponsoring the project, and Keith Henderson, our NASA/Johnson Space Center liaison. We would like to thank the following for critical feedback and suggestions during the project: Thomas Crabb, Orbital Technologies Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin; David Haberman, Astronautics Corporation of America, Milwaukee; John Cain, Kahler Slater Torphy Architects and Venture Architects, Milwaukee; Nancy Jaeger, graduate student in architecture and urban planning; Robert Weber, Marquette University Department of Mechanical Engineering; Wallace Fowler, University of Texas, Austin Department of Aerospace Engineering and Engineering Mechanics; and Profs. Douglas Ryhn and Anthony Schnarsky, Department of Architecture, Edward Beimborn, Department of Civil Engineering, and Mark Sothmann, Department of Human Kinetics at UW-Milwaukee. From NASA/Johnson Space Center, sincere appreciation for technical expertise is extended to Michael Roberts of Systems Engineering and John Connolly of Planet Surface Systems. Additionally, a special thank you to B.J. Bluth, NASA/Headquarters for her insight and most helpful critical commentary about confined environmental living conditions and lunar habitats. Finally, we would like to acknowledge the continuing support of local television, news media, and publications personnel. With their efforts, the Advanced Design Program has generated a greater enthusiasm for the space program and its benefits within academic and public audiences. The NASA/USRA Teaching Assistants and Faculty Advisor for 1990-1991 would also like to thank the students in the Spring Space Architecture Design Studio for their unending enthusiasm and diligence in design, creativity, and research toward the final product, Genesis II.

Joseph P. Fieber  
Janis Huebner-Mohts  
Kerry L. Paruleski  
NASA/USRA Teaching Assistants

Gary T. Moore  
Faculty Advisor and Project Director

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b>	<b>iii</b>	2.3 Site Planning Considerations	6
<b>PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>	2.3.1 Issues	6
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiii</b>	2.3.2 Findings	6
<b>1 OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURE</b>	<b>1</b>	2.3.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	7
1.1 Project Goals	1	2.4 Previous Space Experience, Analogous Situations, and Simulations	7
1.2 Objectives of an Advanced Lunar Base	1	2.4.1 Issues	7
1.2.1 NASA Lunar Mission Objectives	1	2.4.2 Findings	7
1.2.2 Key Research and Design Issues	1	2.4.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	8
1.2.3 Principle Design Criteria	2	2.5 Long-Term Effects of Reduced Gravity	8
1.3 Design Methodology	2	2.5.1 Issues	9
1.3.1 <i>Genesis I</i> Lunar Outpost and Critique	2	2.5.2 Findings	9
1.3.2 Fall 1990 Independent Research Studies	2	2.5.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	9
1.3.2.1 Extraterrestrial Habitation: A Quest for Solutions	3	2.6 Spatial Allocation and Human Factors Analysis of Minimum Space Required for Lunar Habitation and Research Functions	10
1.3.2.2 An Investigation of Technological Options in Lunar Construction	3	2.6.1 Issues	10
1.3.2.3 Environmental Conditions of the Moon and Mars: A Study of Two Worlds and their Ability to Foster Habitation and Experimentation	4	2.6.2 Findings	11
1.3.2 Spring 1991 Design Studio	4	2.6.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	11
<b>2 DESIGN ISSUES AND REQUIREMENTS</b>	<b>5</b>	2.7 Design Requirements for all Functional Areas of an Advanced Lunar Outpost	11
2.1 Character of the Lunar Environment	5	2.7.1 Base Operations	13
2.1.1 Issues	5	2.7.2 Mission Operations	13
2.1.2 Findings	5	2.7.3 Crew Support and Habitat	13
2.1.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	5	2.8 Natural Light and Views	15
2.2 Image and Symbolism Appropriate for a Lunar Outpost	6	2.8.1 Issues	15
2.2.1 Issues	6	2.8.2 Findings	15
2.2.2 Findings	6	2.8.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	15
2.2.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	6	2.9 Short- and Long-Term Habitability Effects of Underground, Windowless Architecture	15
		2.9.1 Issues	15
		2.9.2 Findings	15
		2.9.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	16
		2.10 Expandability, Replacement, and Renovation	16
		2.10.1 Issues	16
		2.10.2 Findings	16
		2.10.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	16

---

2.11 Shielding	17	<b>3 GENESIS II ADVANCED LUNAR</b>	
2.11.1 Issues	17	<b>OUTPOST DESIGN</b>	<b>23</b>
2.11.2 Findings	17		
2.11.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	17	3.1 Site Selection	23
2.12 Energy Considerations	17	3.2 Base Site Selection	24
2.12.1 Issues	17	3.3 Master Plan	24
2.12.2 Findings	17	3.4 Construction Sequencing	24
2.12.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	18	3.5 Modular Panel and Rack Designs: A Kit of Parts	29
2.13 Biosphere Considerations	18	3.6 Phase I: Emplacement Phase	29
2.13.1 Issues	18	3.7 Phase II: Integration Phase	29
2.13.2 Findings	18	3.7.1 Surface Level 1	30
2.13.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	18	3.7.2 Shuttle C Cylinder	30
2.14 Environmental Control Life Support System/Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning Considerations	19	3.7.3 Lava Tube Level 5	30
2.14.1 Issues	19	3.8 Phase III: Initial Operating Configuration	31
2.14.2 Findings	19	3.8.1 Design Organization	31
2.14.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	19	3.8.2 Entry and Logistics Facility	38
2.15 Construction Technologies	19	3.8.3 Storage	38
2.15.1 Issues	19	3.8.4 Crew Support Facility	38
2.15.2 Findings	19	3.8.4.1 Galley	38
2.15.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	20	3.8.4.2 Wardroom and Dining	39
2.16 Lunar Structures	20	3.8.4.3 Group Recreation	39
2.16.1 Issues	20	3.8.4.4 Exercise Facility	40
2.16.2 Findings	20	3.8.5 Crew Quarters	40
2.16.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	20	3.8.5.1 Personal Crew Quarters	40
2.17 Construction Materials	20	3.8.5.2 Personal Hygiene Facility	41
2.17.1 Issues	20	3.8.5.3 Laundry Facility	41
2.17.2 Findings	20	3.8.5.4 Library	42
2.17.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	21	3.8.6 Research Laboratories	42
2.18 Construction Sequencing	21	3.8.7 Biotron	43
2.18.1 Issues	21	3.8.8 Quiet/Contemplation Areas	43
2.18.2 Findings	21	3.8.9 Mission Control and Specialized Facilities	44
2.18.3 Design Requirements/Recommendations	21	3.8.9.1 Mission Control	44
		3.8.9.2 Health Maintenance Facility	45
		3.8.9.3 Maintenance Work Area, Suit Storage, and EVA Chamber	45



---

3.8.10 Construction Technology	46
3.8.10.1 Space Frame	46
3.8.10.2 Inflatable Construction	47
3.8.10.3 Hatch and Connector Designs	47
3.8.10.4 Radiation Shielding	47
3.8.11 Furniture and Equipment	47
3.8.12 Lighting	47
3.8.13 Summary of Initial Operation Configuration	48
3.9 Expansion	48
<b>4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>49</b>
4.1 Critical Design Features of <i>Genesis II</i>	49
4.2 Major Strengths and Limitations of the Design	50
4.3 Areas for Future Research and Design Development	51
<b>APPENDICES</b>	
Appendix A Space Architecture II Design Studio Syllabus	53
Appendix B Space Architecture Reader Contents	61
Appendix C Space Architecture Monographs and Other Publications	65
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>71</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.4.2-1.	The sleep compartment aboard Skylab was divided into three crew quarters each meant to allow personalization (Dalton, 1974).	7	Figure 2.7-4.	The hygiene facility aboard Skylab (Compton, 1983).	12
Figure 2.4.3-1.	Consideration, in regard to proximity between noisy and quiet areas, is important when designing an exotic base (Paruleski, 1990).	8	Figure 2.7-5.	Anticipated medical facility needs and support equipment (NASA STD-3000, 1987).	14
Figure 2.4.3-2.	Group blending could cause problems even if the habitat is designed well (Paruleski, 1990).	8	Figure 2.7-6.	Health maintenance facility diagnostic and treatment areas (SICSA, 1989).	14
Figure 2.5.3-1.	Bounding platforms rather than traditional stairs makes more sense in 1/6 gravity because of jumping abilities (Paruleski, 1991).	9	Figure 2.10.2-1.	An example of many different geometric configurations that could be adopted for a base layout (SICSA, 1989).	16
Figure 2.5.3-2.	Traction strips and carpeting will make the habitat safer and allow the crew to maneuver better through the base (Paruleski, 1991).	9	Figure 2.11.1-1.	An example of the harm that radiation has on humans (Haffner, 1967).	17
Figure 2.5.3-3.	The 1/6 gravity body position is different than that of the position on earth and in microgravity, therefore furniture and workstations must be designed accordingly (Capps, 1989).	10	Figure 2.12.2-1.	An example of a typical solar array structure (Bruckner, 1990).	18
Figure 2.6.1-1.	Proximities and adjacencies between spaces (Kishony, 1991).	10	Figure 2.13.2-1.	The regenerative process that a biosphere incorporates into its day to day functioning (Cordes, n.d.).	18
Figure 2.6.3-1.	Zoning between work and living areas is important in relieving stress and allowing the crew to get away from work (Kishony, 1991).	11	Figure 2.14.2-1.	The method of recycling water for reuse in a lunar habitat (Buscher, 1991).	19
Figure 2.7-1.	The galley area aboard Skylab (Compton, 1983).	11	Figure 2.15.2-1.	An example of an inflatable structure proposed by NASA. Note the interior structure which must be erected and entirely self-supporting (Alred, 1989).	20
Figure 2.7-2.	An example of a workstation design aboard Skylab (Compton, 1983).	12	Figure 2.17.2-1.	An example of a foam-rigidized wall system (SICSA, 1988).	21
Figure 2.7-3.	The crew quarters on Skylab. Shown here are the small compartments used for leisure and		Figure 3.1-1.	Apollo 15 site.	23
			Figure 3.1-2.	A lunar map, including all of the Apollo landing sites.	23

Figure 3.1-3	Apollo 15 site--Approach to <i>Genesis II</i> base location.	23	Figure 3.7.3-1.	Level 5 of the Integration Phase. This area is reconfigured as the base grows with additional galley, wardroom, suit maintenance, EVA chamber, exercise facility and hygiene facilities.	30
Figure 3.1-4.	The proposed <i>Genesis II</i> location.	24			
Figure 3.3-1.	<i>Genesis II</i> Base Master Plan--launch and landing facilities located to the right, habitation/laboratory areas near center, power facility to left, mining below.	25	Figure 3.7.3-2.	The plan of Level 5 of the Integration Phase. Medical and mission operations are complete.	31
Figure 3.4-1.	Emplacement phase: Equipment being landed for the construction of the base.	26	Figure 3.8.1-1.	The upper level of IOC on the surface of the moon, which houses the EVA module and the logistic modules.	31
Figure 3.4-2.	With the assembly facility in place, the hole is sintered into the roof of the lava tube.	26	Figure 3.8.1-2.	A section of the base, including the Shuttle-C module which connects the elements in the lava tube with the lunar surface.	32
Figure 3.4-3.	Integration phase: A crane lowers pieces of the space frame into the lava tube.	26	Figure 3.8.1-3	Shown on the left is the plan of the lower floor of the habitation inflatable, showing the galley, wardroom, exercise, limited hygiene facility, and a number of recreation areas. On the right, the laboratory inflatable is shown, including a general laboratory and a number of specialized laboratories.	33
Figure 3.4-4.	A Shuttle-C module is lowered into position through the hole in the lava tube's roof.	26			
Figure 3.4-5.	Initial Operation Configuration: The habitation inflatable is in place and the laboratory inflatable is being erected.	27	Figure 3.8.1-4	The interaction of areas on the lower level of the inflatables connected by circulation through the Shuttle-C central core.	34
Figure 3.4-6.	The base in its completed form.	27	Figure 3.8.1-5	Shown on the left is the upper floor of the habitation inflatable which includes crew quarters, personal hygiene and a small library. Shown on the right, the laboratory inflatable includes the biotron and several contemplation areas.	35
Figure 3.5-1.	The Modular Construction Component System. This is the basis of the interior configuration, based on a grid system measurement of 1.2 meters.	28	Figure 3.8.1-6	The left side of this axonometric shows the many variations in the crew quarters including single and double crew quarters and a possible larger single crew quarters for the mission commander. The right side shows	
Figure 3.6-1.	The Assembly Facility used in the Emplacement Phase. This structure contains support facilities for a crew of four: crew quarters, hygiene, galley, and airlock with suit storage.	29			
Figure 3.7.1-1.	The surface level of the base allowing easy access to the lunar surface and efficient change-out of the logistics modules.	30			

	the interaction between the contemplation areas and the biotron.	36		terminating the main translation path through the laboratory inflatable.	42
Figure 3.8.1-7	Initial Operating Configuration Level 5, at the base of the lava-tube.	37	Figure 3.8.8-1.	The reading room allows the crew privacy to relax and read.	43
Figure 3.8.3-1.	Storage racks in the Shuttle-C module.	38	Figure 3.8.8-2.	The conservatory allowing a place to contemplate away from the activities of the base.	43
Figure 3.8.4-1.	The central translation platform, located in the center of the lower floor in the habitation inflatable, provides a means of bounding to the upper floor.	39	Figure 3.8.8-3.	The chapel in which the crew can practice their religious beliefs.	44
Figure 3.8.4.2-1.	A view of the wardroom. Note the raised table, shown in dotted lines, which lowers down over the three smaller tables when a large gathering takes place.	39	Figure 3.8.9.1-1.	Base activities can be monitored in the mission operations facility.	44
Figure 3.8.4.3-1.	The game room is one of the group recreation areas. Located between the wardroom and the group lounge, this space can be used for small group activities such as card or board games.	40	Figure 3.8.9.1-2.	A typical mission operations research workstation designed to accommodate the 1/6 gravity body position.	44
Figure 3.8.4.4-1.	A view of the exercise facility, which includes treadmills, stationary bicycles, stair-climbers, a punching bag, and a resistance machine.	40	Figure 3.8.9.2-1.	The health maintenance operating theatre protruding from the modular rack.	45
Figure 3.8.5.1-1.	A few of the possible arrangements for the crew quarters. Note the overlapping of beds as seen in the right-most drawing.	41	Figure 3.8.9.2-2.	The health maintenance facility. All supplies and equipment are efficiently stored and easily reached.	45
Figure 3.8.5.2-1.	The personal hygiene facility includes three hygiene units and the laundry facility. Note the shared shower for two hygiene units.	41	Figure 3.8.9.3-1.	The maintenance facility in the level 5 module. Located in close proximity to the EVA module allows for efficiency and safety.	45
Figure 3.8.5.4-1.	A view of the library area, which will be a place of retreat, privacy, and contemplation for the crew.	42	Figure 3.8.10.1-1.	The space frame under construction.	46
Figure 3.8.6-1.	A view of the general labs shows the openness created by the half racks and the window		Figure 3.8.10.2-1.	A wall section through the inflatable structure inside the lava tube, including sections through both floor levels and through a window in the wall.	46
			Figure 3.8.10.3-1.	Front view and side views of the proposed hatch/connector to be used between all structural elements.	47

Figure 3.8.11-1.	Specially designed 1/6th gravity furniture used throughout the base.	48
Figure 3.8.12-1.	Specially designed lighting used throughout the base.	48
Figure 3.9-1.	Possible base expansion for <i>Genesis II</i> Advanced Lunar Outpost beyond IOC.	48
Figure 4-1.	The model of the <i>Genesis II</i> Advanced Lunar Outpost. The opening to the lava-tube is on the right. The dark paths on the surface are sintered roadways to help keep dust levels low.	49
Figure 4-2.	An overhead view of the modules on the lunar surface covered with a protective shielding. Note the coupola protruding at the apex of the configuration.	49
Figure 4-3.	The entry to the airlock on the lunar surface. The dust-off platform is just outside the airlock module, and the coupola can be seen in the background.	52
Figure 4-4.	Looking into the opening of the lava-tube. The space-frame supporting the inflatables can be seen in the darkness.	52