BACKGROUND
Numeric problems, like those shown in the 2x2 matrices to the right, require people to calculate two proportions, convert one proportion into another, and then compare the proportions in order to get the correct answer. In general, thinking in terms of proportions and comparing proportions is difficult for people, so problems that require calculation and comparison of two numbers tend to be more difficult. They often evoke an automatic, heuristic-based (“Type I”) – but incorrect – response that must be overridden in order to answer correctly.

Typically, people who score higher on general numeracy problems are better able to reason analytically, and override their Type I processing response, to get the correct response in these 2x2 matrices. However, research has shown that when people interpret numbers on topics they have a strong bias about, their ideological bias can trump their ability to reason carefully about the data. That is, general numeracy abilities only predict people’s ability to inhibit an intuitive response to a 2x2 matrix problem when the actual correct answer fits their beliefs about how the world works. In the current study, we investigate this phenomenon in the context of a 2x2 matrix with numbers about men’s and women’s preferred work-family roles.

HYPOTHESES
1. We predict that participants who answer the matrix problems correctly will score higher in general numeracy than will those who give the heuristic-driven, but incorrect answer to the matrix problem.

2. We predict that participants will be more likely to succumb to the Type I, heuristic-driven response (and hence incorrectly come to the correct answer) when the heuristic-driven response matches the historically-prevalent pattern of gender differences (i.e., that men are more likely than women to choose the breadwinner role and women more likely than men to choose the homemaker role).

3. We predict that the link between general numeracy and success with the matrix problem will only occur when the matrix problem is set up so that a heuristic-driven interpretation of the numbers goes against the historically-prevalent pattern of gender differences (i.e., that men are more likely than women to choose the homemaker role and men more likely than women to choose the breadwinner role).

PARTICIPANTS
Participants were recruited from high-traffic areas around the UWEC campus; they completed the 30-minute questionnaire voluntarily. A total of 258 UWEC students (153 women, 82 men, 7 no answer/other, Mage = 20.61 yrs, SEM = .20 yrs.) participated.

In the ACME PUBLISHING SWEEPSTAKES, the chance of winning a car is 1 in 1,000. What percent of tickets to ACME PUBLISHING SWEEPSTAKES win a car? (Answer: .1%)

If the chance of getting a disease is 20 out of 100, this would be the same as having a ___% chance of getting the disease? (Answer: .20%)

Participants completed a general numeracy scale of 31 items. These items assess how well people (a) assess risk using percentages and proportions, (b) convert percentages to proportions, (c) convert proportions to percentages, and (d) convert probabilities to proportions. Sample items are shown below:

If the chance of getting a disease is 20 out of 100, this would be the same as having a ___% chance of getting the disease.

Participants in these two conditions were then asked to report the results of the study (order of options was counterbalanced):

o Men were more likely to choose the breadwinner role than women were.

o Women were more likely to choose the homemaker role than men were.

o Women were more likely to choose the homemaker role than men were.

If the chance of getting a disease is 20 out of 100, this would be the same as having a ___% chance of getting the disease.

RESULTS
1. Students’ performance on the general numeracy scale was impressive, at least compared to reasonably educated community samples. The typical student answered 9 of 31 questions correctly, with higher general numeracy scores than those who answered incorrectly.

2. Our second prediction was that participants would be more likely to succumb to the Type I, heuristic-driven response (and hence less likely to come to the correct answer) when the heuristic-driven response matched the historically-prevalent pattern of gender differences. This prediction was not confirmed. A similar percentage of participants answered the matrix question correctly, regardless of whether the presentation of the data matched historically-stereotypes or stereotypes about work-family roles (i.e., breadwinner and homemaker roles).

3. Our third prediction was that the link between general numeracy and success with the matrix problem would only occur when the matrix problem is set up so a heuristic-driven interpretation of the numbers goes against the historically-prevalent pattern of gender differences. We did not find support for this prediction. General numeracy was tied to better performance on the task, regardless of the setup of the task.

DISCUSSION
We designed this study to determine if people’s numeracy abilities predicted their ability to overcome their Type I, heuristic-driven response and use analytical reasoning to get to the right answer in 2x2 matrix problems. We specifically provided fabricated data about historically-prevalent patterns of gender differences to be even more difficult than what has been documented among educated, middle-aged adults. Over 25% of students answered all but one question correctly, and another 24% answered all 11 correctly.

In terms of gender numeracy abilities, our participants (students of UWEC) performed better than what has been documented among educated, middle-aged adults. However, research has shown that when people interpret numbers on topics they have a strong bias about, their ideological bias can trump their ability to reason carefully about the data.

As expected, participants with higher numeracy skills were also more likely to answer the 2x2 matrix problem correctly. Contrary to expectation, however, general numeracy helped regardless of whether or not the matrix was set up to reinforce historically-prevalent gender differences in work-family roles.

We initially asked participants to fill out a questionnaire to assess their attitudes about gender differences (i.e., they were asked how much they agreed with statements like, “Some types of work are just not appropriate for women.”). We wanted to investigate if people with stronger gendered ideologies would have a more difficult time with the 2x2 matrix problem when it was set up in such a way that the heuristic-driven response coincided with the historically-prevalent pattern of gender differences. However, data were strongly skewed toward low-gendered ideology scores. In other words, the vast majority of participants were highly gender egalitarian. Because of the low variability in gender attitudes, we could not further investigate the issue. In the future, we would like to study motivated numeracy in the context of mate preferences. Previous research has indicated persistent and cross-cultural sex differences in prioritization of certain mate characteristics. Our results suggest that men tend to place more emphasis than women do on physical characteristics and outward appearance, whereas women tend to prioritize ambition and potential for financial success. We suspect that men and women might have a difficult time with the matrix task when the numbers that reflect the traits men and women differentially prioritize are set up in such a way that includes the automatic, heuristic-based response.
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