
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank both my advisors, Dr. Klein and Dr. Reindl, for their ideas and technical support during the entire duration of my Masters program. Under their guidance, I have gained a great deal of technical and experimental knowledge and am indeed very privileged to have been one of their students.

The contributions of Dr. Beckman and Dr. Mitchell during our fortnightly meetings in summer are also not forgotten. At times, they were able to identify problems with my experimental results that were overlooked by the rest of us.

I would like to extend my sincere thanks to Sub-Zero for allowing me the use of their equipment to perform measurements on the heat exchanger UAs. In addition, I would like to acknowledge Paul Sikir, Chris Rieger, Jeff Pagel, Ken Knudson and John Jaschinski for providing me with all the necessary information that I needed. Not forgetting too are Ron and Dave Christianson, who were especially helpful in getting me familiar with the equipment in the testing laboratory.

The Solar Energy Lab was definitely more lively when the guys (and gals) were present. Our occasional debates on economics and other popular issues were also a welcomed change from the regular work that we do around the lab. To that end, I would like to thank them for providing me with a conducive working environment.

Last but not least, I would like to acknowledge my family members for their support. Though far away, they have always been a great source of encouragement. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my parents, whose hard work has enabled me to continue my tertiary education abroad. It is to them that I dedicate this thesis.

ABSTRACT

A computer simulation program was developed to model the performance of a side-by-side refrigerator-freezer unit. The program, which employs a quasi steady-state model, is able to predict the refrigeration capacity, compressor power consumption, on cycle time and off-cycle time of the freezer and refrigerator cycles to within 6% accuracy (maximum deviation from the measured value). To enable an accurate prediction of the parameters in the model, the program relies on the input of, among others, the overall heat transfer coefficient-area product (UA) of the condenser and evaporators in the system. Since the UA values of these heat exchangers were not readily available from the refrigerator manufacturer, a set of experiments was performed to measure them. The scope of this experiment was later broadened by performing the UA measurements over a range of different airflow rates to allow a study on the accurate sizing of these heat exchangers.

Using this computer program, a number of proposals with the potential of enhancing the performance of the system were evaluated. Specifically, the benefits of mechanical subcooling and the advantages of using a two-stage system as opposed to the present two single-stage cycles were investigated. In addition to these proposals, a detailed study was also conducted on the benefits of using suction-line heat exchangers and the use of mullion tubes to prevent sweating on the outer surfaces of the refrigerator cabinet; suction-line heat exchangers and mullion tubes are currently installed in this refrigerator. In the study of mullion tubes, a comparison was made between the use of these tubes and electric heaters to determine the more economical method to prevent

sweating. The results of these studies are ranked according to their potential in the table below.

Proposal	Savings in Total Energy Consumption (%)
Freezer suction-line heat exchanger	8.3%
DC fan in the freezer evaporator	6.0%
Refrigerator suction-line heat exchanger	5.4%
DC fan in the refrigerator evaporator	2.8%
Two-stage cycle	2.6%
Increasing freezer evaporator UA	2.0%
Mechanical subcooling	1.0%
Mullion tubes	If freezer heater > 19 Btu/hr and refrigerator heater > 7 Btu/hr

Table A.1 The estimated impact of each proposal on the performance of the system.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
ABSTRACT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Brief History	1
1.2 Environmental Concerns	2
1.2.1 Safe Refrigerants	3
1.2.2 Friendly Insulation	4
1.2.3 Energy-Efficient Refrigerators	5
1.3 Impact of Refrigerators on the Utilities	7
1.4 Objective of Study	11
1.5 More About the Refrigerator Under Study	12
CHAPTER 2 UA MEASUREMENTS	
2.1 Introduction	14
2.2 Experimental Setup	15
2.3 Experimental Procedure	22
2.4 Analysis of Results and Discussion	24
2.4.1 Calibration of Thermocouples	24
2.4.2 Temperature Distribution Across Heat Exchanger	25
2.4.3 UA Calculations	28
2.4.4 Sources of Error	34
2.5 Conclusions	38
CHAPTER 3 COMPUTER PROGRAM	
3.1 Introduction	40
3.2 Basic Approach	40
3.3 Assumptions	43
3.3.1 Saturated Conditions at Heat Exchanger Outlets	43
3.3.2 Cycling Losses	44
3.4 Input into the Program	45
3.4.1 Heat Exchanger UA	45
3.4.2 Effectiveness of the Suction-Line Heat Exchangers	46

3.4.3	Cabinet Heat Loads (Reverse Heat Leak Test vs. UA Experiments)	47
3.4.4	Manufacturer's Compressor Maps	50
3.4.4.1	Refrigeration Capacity	50
3.4.4.2	Compressor Power Consumption	56
3.5	Output of the Programs	61
3.5.1	Refrigeration Capacity and Compressor Power Consumption	61
3.5.2	On and Off-Cycle Times	61
3.6	How the Program Works	63
3.7	Comparison Between Model Outputs and Actual Measurements	64
3.8	Conclusions	67
CHAPTER 4 SIZING OF HEAT EXCHANGERS		
4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Experimental Setup and Procedure	69
4.3	Analysis of Results and Discussion	70
4.3.1	Cabinet Load as a Function of CFM	74
4.3.2	Comparison with other Measurements	79
4.3.3	Impact on Energy Consumption	80
4.4	Conclusions	85
CHAPTER 5 SUCTION-LINE HEAT EXCHANGERS		
5.1	Introduction	86
5.2	Advantages and Disadvantages of Suction-Line Heat Exchangers	88
5.3	Suction-Line Heat Exchangers in this Refrigerator	90
5.4	Effects of High Temperature Vapor	91
5.5	Effect of Temperature Lift	96
5.6	Effect of Low-Side Pressure Drop	99
5.6.1	Effects of Lower Mass Flow	100
5.6.2	Effects of a Higher Pressure Ratio	101
5.6.3	"Allowable" Pressure Drop	103
5.7	Conclusions	107
CHAPTER 6 MECHANICAL SUBCOOLING		
6.1	Introduction	108
6.2	Advantages and Disadvantages of Mechanical Subcooling	111
6.3	Effect of Subcooler on System COP	112
6.3.1	Subcooler with a Suction-Line Heat Exchanger in both the Freezer and Refrigerator Cycles	114
6.3.2	Subcooler with a Suction-Line Heat Exchanger in Freezer Cycle only	116

6.3.3	Subcooler with a Suction-Line Heat Exchanger in Refrigerator Cycle only	117
6.3.4	Subcooler with No Suction-Line Heat Exchangers in either Cycle	118
6.4	Ratio of Mass Flow Rates on the Effect of Subcooling	119
6.5	Effect of Low-Side Pressure Drop	121
6.6	Conclusion	125
CHAPTER 7 MULLION TUBES		
7.1	Introduction	126
7.2	Advantages and Disadvantages of Using Mullion Tubes	128
7.3	Objective of Study	129
7.4	Heat Rejection by Mullion Tubes	130
7.4.1	Mullion Heat Rejection into the Freezer Cabinet	130
7.4.1.1	Mullion Tube on the Freezer Side Frame	131
7.4.1.2	Mullion Tube on the Freezer Middle Frame	137
7.4.2	Sensitivity of Results to Various Parameters	140
7.4.3	Mullion Heat Rejection into the Refrigerator Cabinet	141
7.5	High-Side Pressure Drop	143
7.6	Comparison between Mullion Tubes and Electric Heater	145
7.7	Conclusion	147
CHAPTER 8 TWO-STAGE SYSTEM		
8.1	Introduction	148
8.2	Advantages and Disadvantages of a Two-Stage System	149
8.3	Analysis of the Two-Stage System	152
8.3.1	Assumptions made in the Analysis	153
8.3.2	Choice of the Intermediate Pressure	154
8.3.3	Flash Evaporator	156
8.3.4	Suction-Line Heat Exchangers	157
8.4	Results from the Analysis	160
8.5	Conclusions	163
CHAPTER 9 RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS		
9.1	Summary of Results	165
9.2	Recommendations for Future Work	169
BIBLIOGRAPHY		172

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Description	Page
1.1 The distribution of electrical energy consumption in a household (Reproduced from data accumulated by DOE, 1997).	7
1.2 The average energy consumption of the refrigerator models in 1989 and 1993 (Reproduced from data accumulated by DOE, 1997).	8
2.1 Picture of the freezer evaporator.	16
2.2 The refrigerator cabinet with the evaporator located at the bottom.	16
2.3 Locations of the thermocouples in (a) freezer evaporator and condenser (b) refrigerator evaporator.	17
2.4 The calibration of the thermocouples in an ice bath.	18
2.5 The station that the thermocouples and pressure transducers were connected to.	18
2.6 The apparatus that was used to measure the airflow rate.	20
2.7 Picture showing a pipe channeling the air from the airflow rate apparatus to the freezer evaporator.	21
2.8 Picture showing the mass flow meter connected to the system.	21
2.9 The placement of thermocouples (T), pressure transducers (P) and mass flow meter (M) in the system. Airflow measurements, designated as (A), were also made.	22
2.10 The difference between the air temperatures leaving and entering the freezer evaporator.	25
2.11 The difference between the air temperatures leaving and entering the refrigerator evaporator.	26
2.12 The difference between the air temperatures leaving and entering the condenser during the freezer on-cycle.	26
2.13 Top view showing the flow of air before it reaches the condenser.	28

Figure Description	Page
2.14 The UA of the freezer evaporator as a function of time calculated by three different methods.	31
2.15 The UA of the refrigerator evaporator as a function of time calculated by three different methods.	31
2.16 The UA of the condenser during the freezer on-cycle as a function of time calculated by three different methods.	32
2.17 The UA of the condenser during the refrigerator on-cycle as a function of time calculated by three different methods.	32
3.1 Schematic of the major components in a vapor-compression cycle.	42
3.2 Predicted and actual mass flow in the freezer cycle as a function of time. The evaporator airflow was 57 CFM for this case.	53
3.3 Comparison between the predicted and measured mass flow rate for different freezer evaporator airflow rates.	54
3.4 Predicted and actual mass flow in the refrigerator cycle as a function of time. The evaporator airflow was 25 CFM for this case.	55
3.5 Comparison between the measured and predicted mass flow rate for different refrigerator evaporator airflow rates.	56
3.6 Predicted and actual power drawn by the freezer compressor as a function of time. The evaporator airflow was 57 CFM for this case.	58
3.7 Comparison between the measured and predicted power for different freezer evaporator airflow rates.	58
3.8 Predicted and actual power drawn by the refrigerator compressor as a function of time. The evaporator airflow was 25 CFM for this case.	59
3.9 Comparison between the measured and predicted power for different refrigerator evaporator airflow rates.	60
3.10 Overall picture of how the program works.	63
4.1 The change in freezer evaporator UA with airflow rate.	71
4.2 The change in refrigerator evaporator UA with airflow rate.	72

Figure Description	Page
4.3 The change in condenser UA with airflow rate during the freezer on-cycle.	72
4.4 The change in condenser UA with airflow rate during the refrigerator on-cycle.	73
4.5 The freezer cabinet load as a function of the airflow rate.	75
4.6 The refrigerator cabinet load as a function of the airflow rate.	75
4.7 Temperature of the freezer cabinet as a function of time.	77
4.8 Temperature of the refrigerator cabinet as a function of time.	77
4.9 Measurements of the UA as a function of the airflow rate made by the heat exchanger manufacturer.	79
5.1 Schematic identifying the location of a suction-line heat exchanger.	86
5.2 Refrigeration schematic showing the major components including a suction-line heat exchanger.	87
5.3 Property plot for R134a showing the benefits of subcooling.	88
5.4 A diagram of a suction-line heat exchanger.	90
5.5 The impact of the heat exchanger effectiveness on the mass flow rate for cycles using R134a.	94
5.6 The impact of the suction-line heat exchanger effectiveness on the capacity for cycles using R134a.	94
5.7 The effect of suction-line heat exchanger effectiveness on capacity for cycles with different temperature lifts. All cycles use R134a.	97
5.8 Property plot showing the states of the refrigerant for two cycles with different temperature lifts.	98
5.9 The effect of low-side pressure drop on the increase in capacity for five cycles with different evaporating temperatures and a condensing temperature of 105°F. All cycles have a suction-line heat exchanger effectiveness of 0.9.	102

Figure Description	Page
5.10 The allowable pressure drop for different evaporating temperatures. The suction-line heat exchangers have an effectiveness of 0.9.	103
5.11 The impact of a 0.5 psi pressure drop in heat exchanger on COP for cycles with different evaporating temperatures.	105
6.1 Diagram identifying one possible location for the subcooler.	109
6.2 The increase in system COP as a function of the subcooler effectiveness.	113
6.3 The change in the freezer, refrigerator and system COP with subcooler effectiveness.	115
6.4 Effect of subcooler effectiveness on system COP for different mass ratios. The heat suction-line heat exchangers and subcooler all have a constant 0.5 psi low-side pressure drop.	120
6.5 The change in system COP with subcooler effectiveness when the suction-line heat exchangers with a pressure drop was removed and a subcooler with the same pressure drop was installed.	123
6.6 The change in system COP when a subcooler with an equal pressure drop was installed together with the existing suction-line heat exchangers.	123
7.1 A diagram showing the location of the mullion tubes in the freezer and refrigerator cycles.	127
7.2 The view of the freezer side frame from the top of the refrigerator.	132
7.3 The location of the side frame and middle frame views.	133
7.4 The top view of the refrigerator identifying the location of the back mullion tube.	133
7.5 The view of the freezer middle frame from the bottom of the refrigerator.	138
8.1 Schematic showing the configuration of the proposed two-stage system.	151
8.2 The state of the refrigerant on a P-h diagram.	154
8.3 Total energy consumption of the system as a function of the intermediate temperature.	155

Figure Description	Page
8.4 The impact of the refrigerator suction-line heat exchanger on the power consumption of the system.	158
8.5 The impact of the freezer suction-line heat exchanger on the power consumption of the system.	158
8.6 The benefit of using a two stage cycle as a function of the low-side evaporating temperature.	161
8.7 The percentage improvement of the two-stage system over the basic two-cycle system as a function of the compressor efficiency.	162

LIST OF TABLES

Table Description	Page
A.1 The estimated impact of each proposal on the performance of the system.	iii
1.1 The energy standards that each model has to meet by the designated date (DOE, 1996).	9
3.1 The factors that were used to modify the curve-fit equations.	60
3.2 Comparison between the measured and predicted energy per cycle for the freezer.	64
3.3 Comparison between the measured and predicted off cycle-time for the freezer.	65
3.4 Comparison between the measured and predicted energy per cycle for the refrigerator.	66
3.5 Comparison between the measured and predicted off cycle-time for the refrigerator.	66
4.1 Freezer on and off-cycle times, and compressor and fan energy consumption for different evaporator fan speeds.	82
4.2 Refrigerator on and off-cycle times, and compressor and fan energy consumption for different evaporator fan speeds.	82
4.3 Freezer on and off-cycle times, and compressor and fan energy consumption for different condenser fan speeds.	82
4.4 Refrigerator on and off-cycle times, and compressor and fan energy consumption for different condenser fan speeds.	83
4.4 The compressor, fan and total energy consumption for the refrigerator for different condenser fan speeds.	83
6.1 The advantages and disadvantages of using a subcooler.	112
7.1 The advantages and disadvantages associated with the use of mullion tubes.	129

Table Description	Page
7.2 Thermal conductivity of the materials in Figure 7.2.	134
7.3 Heat flow across the four surfaces of the freezer side frame.	136
7.4 Heat flow across the four surfaces of the freezer middle frame.	139
7.5 Total mullion heat rejection into the freezer cabinet.	139
7.6 Heat flow across the four surfaces of the refrigerator middle frame.	142
8.1 The advantages and disadvantages of a two-stage system.	150
8.2 The energy consumption of the freezer and refrigerator cycles over a 24 hour period.	160
9.1 The estimated impact of each proposal on the performance of the system.	169