Biggest Thing Since Mass Production

A carefully worked-out technique of “value analysis” is producing dramatic dollar savings for consumers and taxpayers

By Lloyd Stouffer

Back in 1947, Larry Miles, a General Electric design engineer, walked into his boss’s office in Schenectady, N.Y., with a bone-jarring question: “Doesn’t anybody here care what things cost?”

Somebody, of course, did. And the idea advanced that day by the design engineer not only shook his own company, but may prove one of the greatest boons to consumers since Henry Ford popularized mass production. What Miles expounded was a technique—now called value engineering, value control or value analysis—that substantially reduces the manufacturing cost of almost any product to which it is applied, without lowering performance. In 17 years, savings resulting from value engineering could be estimated at more than $200 million for the purchasers of GE products from toasters to turbines.

One of the first items to be value-engineered was the automatic cold control of a refrigerator. This part, an expensive one, had gone through cost-reduction studies, and the department manager told Miles, “If you can take another nickel out of it, I’ll eat my hat!” Presumably he ate it, because the records show that value analysis in 1947-48 eliminated $500,000 a year from the cost of that one refrigerator part.

The technique was applied to other parts. Competitors picked up
the ideas, and the result was that refrigerator prices, which had skyrocketed, in 1949 started a sharp downward curve. Today a refrigerator costs 40 percent less than it did in 1947-49—and today’s machine is larger, with many added features.

Since then, the cost-search practice has spread to hundreds of other manufacturing companies, large and small. It is now required of most defense contractors, and Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara predicted last July that within two years it will be saving taxpayers $150 million a year, and possibly more in the future.

The Navy’s Bureau of Ships credits value engineering with savings of more than $6 million a year on ship construction. General Dynamics cut the costs of its defense contracts over five years by $100,300,000. Loral Electronics Corp. was enabled to refund $1,300,000 to the Air Force, $418,000 to the Navy. And all this was done without cheapening the product, just by eliminating useless manufacturing costs.*

Specialists trained in value engineering develop an enthusiasm that is like a religious fervor. Their professional society, the Society of American Value Engineers (SAVE), has in the last two years increased its membership sevenfold. Courses in the subject are given at a dozen universities. Miles alone has trained men who have passed the techniques on to 6000 other men. As results fan out from the big companies to their hundreds of suppliers, benefits for the consumer mushroom.

How does their magic work?

The trained VE practitioner, alone or in a team, selects a target—either a high-price-tag item, or perhaps some small part which is used in large quantities. He asks three basic questions:

1. **What does it do?** The discipline requires that the answer be reduced to two words, a verb and a noun. A pencil, for example, “makes marks.” This breaks habitual patterns, pulls the thinking back to fundamentals, puts the emphasis on function rather than on “the way we’ve always done it.”

2. **What does it cost?** The answer should already be known, but frequently is not. It is often an eye-opener.

3. **What else would do the job, at what cost?** This calls for a brainstorming session in which alternatives may be suggested. These are then evaluated, developed, refined. Thus, where conventional cost-cutting tries to economize on things as they are—pare off a little weight here, simplify a part there—value engineering starts from scratch and approaches each product as if it had never existed. Different materials and methods suggest themselves, and cost reduction is almost automatic. “On the average,” says Miles, “one fourth of all manufacturing costs...

---

*For his contribution to the saving of what may be hundreds of millions of the taxpayers’ dollars, Miles has been given the Navy’s highest honor bestowed on a member of the public, the Distinguished Public Service Award.
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government now agrees—during the first procurement—to let suppliers keep 10 to 75 percent of the money they save through value-engineering ideas that require contract changes. Thereafter the entire saving goes to the government, which means the taxpayers.

Direct consumer benefits are also mounting. At the Ford Motor Co., for example, as at other automobile companies, there is now a value-analysis “tear-down” room where competitive cars are laid out, part by part, for comparison of design and cost. This is a game for big stakes. To a company that produces two million vehicles per year, a saving of even $1 per car adds up.

Such an analysis at Ford in 1960 resulted in a redesign of the brake master cylinder, to save five ounces of metal, two bolts, and 1½ minutes in casting, machining and assembly time. Performance was superior. The saving was 45 cents per part—which in less than two years amounted to $1,500,000. Value engineering, industry-wide, has helped keep automobile prices virtually unchanged for five years while quality and performance have gone up.

In the last ten years, the growing flood of well-made, low-cost imports from Europe and Japan has presented a serious challenge to U.S. industries, even putting the future of some in doubt. Two years ago, for example, Japanese transistor radios had captured 55 percent of the U.S. market. Several American manufacturers surrendered to the point of having parts, or entire radio sets, made in Japan under their own brand names. GE, instead, met the challenge by putting its radios through another intensive round of value analysis. The result: today GE radios are not only underpricing the Japanese in many cases but are actually being exported to Japan!

When the same sort of competition loomed in undersized television sets, the company was ready. By designing from scratch, eliminating the conventional chassis, and mounting components on printed circuitry all around a thin picture tube, the company was able, with value engineering paving the way, to produce a 12-pound miniature portable TV to sell for less than $100—underpricing, with an 11-inch tube, a popular Japanese eight-inch set.

Can the Japanese beat that? It's possible. A number of Japanese technicians have lately been studying value engineering in this country. Miles' textbook on the subject has been published in Japanese and is being translated into Dutch and German. But Yankee ingenuity with a head start will be hard to conquer. And, meanwhile, the consumer can only gain.