

GENERALIZED LINEAR COMPLEMENTARITY
PROBLEMS AS LINEAR PROGRAMS

by

O. L. Mangasarian

Computer Sciences Technical Report #339

October 1978

Generalized Linear Complementarity
Problems as Linear Programs¹⁾

O. L. Mangasarian²⁾

ABSTRACT

A generalized linear complementarity problem which is equivalent to finding a root of a piecewise-linear system of equations is shown to be solvable if and only if a related linear programming problem is solvable. Furthermore each solution of the linear programming problem solves the generalized linear complementarity problem and is a root of the equivalent piecewise-linear system of equations.

¹⁾Invited Lecture, III Symposium über Operations Research, Universität Mannheim, 6-8 September 1978. Research supported by National Science Foundation Grant MCS74-20584 A02 and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

²⁾Computer Sciences and Industrial Engineering Departments, University of Wisconsin, 1210 West Dayton Street, Madison, Wisconsin 53706.

This work contains an extension of the essential idea underlying the approach recently proposed by the author [9,10,11,12] to the generalized linear complementarity problem of finding an x in the n -dimensional real Euclidean space R^n such that

$$M^i x + q^i \geq 0, \prod_{i=1}^k (M^i x + q^i)_j = 0, \quad j=1, \dots, m \quad (1)$$

where \prod is the product symbol, subscripts denote vector elements, k is a given integer bigger than 1, and for $i=1, \dots, k$, M^i are given $m \times n$ real matrices and q^i are given vectors in R^m . This problem subsumes the fundamental linear complementarity problem [2]

$$w = Mx + q \geq 0, \quad x \geq 0, \quad x^T w = 0 \quad (2)$$

which is obtained from (1) by setting $k=2$, $m=n$, $M^1 = M$, $M^2 = I$, I being the identity matrix, $q^1 = q$ and $q^2 = 0$. Problem (1) also includes the generalized linear complementarity problem of Cottle and Dantzig [3] as a special case. In addition problem (1) is equivalent to the problem of finding an x in R^n which satisfies the following piecewise-linear system of equations

$$\text{Minimum}_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{(M^i x + q^i)_j\} = 0, \quad j=1, \dots, m \quad (3)$$

That (1) and (3) are equivalent follows from the following obvious equivalence for the real numbers $\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^k$

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{\alpha^i\} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k \alpha^i = 0, \quad \alpha^i \geq 0, \quad i=1, \dots, k \quad (4)$$

The piecewise-linear system (3) which has been considered by Eaves [6] occurs in certain nonlinear networks [7,8,1] and is unsolved in its general form except by enumerative methods. Our approach here, which is a generalization of the approach of [9,10,11,12] for the linear complementarity problem (2), is to look for a vector p in R^n such that each solution of the linear program

$$\text{Minimize } p^T x \quad \text{subject to } M^i x + q^i \geq 0, \quad i=1, \dots, k \quad (5)$$

is also a solution of the generalized linear complementarity problem (1). Our principal result, Theorem 1, states that the generalized linear complementarity problem (1) or equivalently the piecewise linear system (3) is solvable if and only if the linear program (5) is solvable for some p satisfying conditions (8) and (9), and that each solution of the linear program solves the generalized linear complementarity problem (1) and equivalently the piecewise-linear system (3). In a related series of papers Cottle and Pang [4,5] and Pang [14] have shown, among other things, that the approach proposed by the author for the linear complementarity problem (2) has the interesting feature of finding the least element of a polyhedral set.

To establish our result, which is a generalization of [12, Theorem 3] we need the dual to the linear program (5), namely the linear program

$$\text{Maximize } \sum_{i=1}^k -(q^i)^T y^i \quad \text{subject to } \sum_{i=1}^k (M^i)^T y^i = p, \quad y^i \geq 0 \quad i=1, \dots, k \quad (6)$$

where $y^i \in \mathbb{R}^m$, for $i=1, \dots, k$. Let the primal and dual feasible regions of (5) and (6) be denoted by S and T respectively, that is

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{x \mid M^i x + q^i \geq 0, \quad i=1, \dots, k, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \\ T &= \{(y^1, \dots, y^k) \mid \sum_{i=1}^k (M^i)^T y^i = p, \quad y^i \geq 0, \quad y^i \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad i=1, \dots, k\} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

When S is nonempty, a necessary and sufficient condition that the dual linear programs (5) and (6) be solvable is that T be nonempty. This condition is equivalent to requiring that

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^k (M^i)^T s^i, \quad s^i \geq 0, \quad s^i \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad i=1, \dots, k \quad (8)$$

for some $s^i \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $i=1, \dots, k$. Throughout this work we shall assume that (8) holds and consequently can take (8) as the expression defining p . Let Z be the class of $m \times m$ real matrices with

nonpositive off-diagonal elements. We are ready now to state and prove our principal result.

Theorem 1. The generalized linear complementarity problem (1) or equivalently the piecewise-linear system (3) has a solution if and only if the linear program (5) is solvable for some p defined by (8) with (s^1, \dots, s^k) in R^{km} satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(a) } M^i Q = Z^i + q^i c^T, \quad i=1, \dots, k \\
 & \text{(b) } \sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T Z^i \geq 0 \\
 & \text{(c) } \sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T Z^i + c^T > 0 \\
 & \text{(d) } \sum_{i=1}^k (s^i) > 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$c, s^1, \dots, s^k \geq 0, \quad Z^1, \dots, Z^k \in Z, \quad Q: \text{ unrestricted}$$

for some vector c in R^m , some $n \times m$ matrix Q and some $m \times m$ matrices Z^1, \dots, Z^k . Furthermore each solution of the linear program (5) solves the generalized linear complementarity problem (1) or equivalently the piecewise-linear system (3).

Proof. (Necessity) Let x solve the generalized linear complementarity problem (1). Define s^i in R^m for $i=1, \dots, k$ as follows

$$s_j^i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (M^i x + q^i)_j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } (M^i x + q^i)_j > 0 \end{cases} \quad j=1, \dots, m \tag{10}$$

It follows that $(s^i)^T (M^i x + q^i) = 0$ for $i=1, \dots, k$ and consequently x solves the linear program (5) because (s^1, \dots, s^k) is dual feasible, that is it is in T , and

$$p^T x = \sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T M^i x = \sum_{i=1}^k - (q^i)^T s^i$$

Furthermore conditions (9) are satisfied by (s^1, \dots, s^k) as defined in (10) above and $c = e$, $Z^i = -(M^i x + q^i) e^T$, $Q = -x e^T$, where e is

a vector of ones in \mathbb{R}^m .

(Sufficiency) Let conditions (8) and (9) hold and let x solve the linear program (5). We have that (s^1, \dots, s^k) is dual feasible. If (s^1, \dots, s^k) is also dual optimal, then

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^k (q^i)^T s^i + \sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T M^i x = \sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T (M^i x + q^i),$$

and because $s^i \geq 0$, $M^i x + q^i \geq 0$ for $i=1, \dots, k$, and $\sum_{i=1}^k s^i > 0$

it follows that $\prod_{i=1}^k (M^i x + q^i)_j = 0$, for $j=1, \dots, m$, and conse-

quently x solves the generalized linear complementarity problem (1). Suppose now that (s^1, \dots, s^k) is not dual optimal and that some (y^1, \dots, y^k) in \mathbb{R}^{km} is dual optimal. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T q^i + \sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T M^i x > 0$$

and hence we have from (9b), (9c) and the last inequality above that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T Z^i + \sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T (M^i x + q^i) c^T > 0 \quad (11)$$

Let $Z^i = D - V^i$, for $i=1, \dots, k$, where D, V^1, \dots, V^k are $m \times m$ nonnegative matrices and D is in addition a diagonal matrix with a positive diagonal. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T Z^i &= \sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T (M^i Q - q^i c^T) && \text{(By (9a))} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T (M^i Q - q^i c^T) + \sum_{i=1}^k (y^i)^T (-M^i Q + D - V^i + q^i c^T) && \text{(By (9a))} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k -(s^i)^T q^i c^T + \sum_{i=1}^k (y^i)^T (D - V^i + q^i c^T) && \text{(Because } (y^1, \dots, y^k) \in T) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k -(s^i)^T q^i c^T + \sum_{i=1}^k (y^i)^T (D + q^i c^T) && \text{(Because } y^i \geq 0, V^i \geq 0 \text{ for } i=1, \dots, k) \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T (M^i x + q^i) c^T + \sum_{i=1}^k (y^i)^T D$$

(Because (y^1, \dots, y^k) is dual optimal)

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (y^i)^T D \geq \sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T Z^i + \sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T (M^i x + q^i) c^T \quad (12)$$

By using (11) in (12) and recalling that D is a diagonal matrix with a positive diagonal we obtain that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k y^i > 0 \quad (13)$$

But because x and (y^1, \dots, y^k) solve the dual linear programs (5) and (6) we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (y^i)^T (M^i x + q^i) = 0 \quad (14)$$

From (13) and (14) we have upon noting that $y^i \geq 0$, $M^i x + q^i \geq 0$ for $i=1, \dots, k$, that $\prod_{i=1}^k (M^i x + q^i)_j = 0$, for $j=1, \dots, m$. Hence again x solves the generalized linear complementarity (1). \square

We note that the choice of $s^1, \dots, s^k, c, Z^1, \dots, Z^k$ and Q in the necessity proof of Theorem 1 is a very particular choice and is by no means the only one that satisfies (9). Thus for example as shown in the proof of Corollary 1 below a different choice which does not require knowledge of a solution to the generalized linear complementarity problem (1) can satisfy the conditions (9). Also, in Examples 1 and 2 below we choose for $s^1, \dots, s^k, c, Z^1, \dots, Z^k$ and Q , values other than those given in the necessity proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. Let $m = n$, $M^i \in Z$, for $i=1, \dots, k$, let S be nonempty and let $\sum_{i=1}^k (s^i)^T M^i > 0$ for some nonnegative (s^1, \dots, s^k) in R^{kn} . Then the generalized linear complementarity problem (1) or equivalently the piecewise-linear system (3) has a solution which

can be obtained as a solution of the solvable linear program (5)

$$\text{with } p = \sum_{i=1}^k (M^i)^T s^i .$$

Proof. This corollary follows from Theorem 1 by noting that conditions (9a), (9b) and (9c) are satisfied by taking $c=0$, $Q=I$ and $Z^i = M^i$ for $i=1, \dots, k$. If condition (9d) is not satisfied we would have $s_j^i = 0$ for $i=1, \dots, k$ and some $j, 1 \leq j \leq m$, which when combined with (9c) gives the contradiction

$$0 < \sum_{i=1}^k ((s^i)^T Z^i)_{j \leq} \sum_{i=1}^k s_j^i Z_{jj}^i = 0$$

Hence all the conditions of (9) are satisfied, the linear program (5) is solvable and any solution of it solves (1) or equivalently (3). \square

The following example due to Eaves [6] illustrates the use of Theorem 1.

Example 1 [6, p. 100]

$$M^1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Z^1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z^2 = I, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$s^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} .$$

$$\text{GLCP: } \text{Min}\{-x_1 - x_2 + 2, 2x_1 - x_2 - 1\} = 0$$

$$\text{Min}\{x_1 - x_2 + 2, -2x_1 - x_2 - 1\} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LP:} \quad & \text{Min } 2x_1 - 4x_2 \\ & \text{subject to } -x_1 - x_2 + 2 \geq 0 \\ & \quad \quad \quad x_1 - x_2 + 2 \geq 0 \\ & \quad \quad \quad 2x_1 - x_2 - 1 \geq 0 \\ & \quad \quad \quad -2x_1 - x_2 - 1 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The point $x_1 = 0, x_2 = -1$ with $M_1^2 x + q_1^2 = 0, M_2^2 x + q_2^2 = 0$, is a solution to both the GLCP and LP.

The following example is an application of Corollary 1.

Example 2

$$\begin{aligned} M^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & M^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} & M^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ q^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} & q^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} & q^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ s^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & s^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & s^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & p &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{GLCP: } \text{Min}\{x_1 - x_2 + 1, x_1 - x_2 + 2, -x_1 - x_2 + 1\} = 0$$

$$\text{Min}\{-x_2 - 1, 2x_2 + 3, -x_1 - 1\} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LP: } & \text{Min } x_1 + x_2 \\ & \text{subject to } x_1 - x_2 + 1 \geq 0 \\ & \quad -x_2 - 1 \geq 0 \\ & \quad x_1 - x_2 + 2 \geq 0 \\ & \quad 2x_2 + 3 \geq 0 \\ & \quad -x_1 - x_2 + 1 \geq 0 \\ & \quad -x_1 - 1 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The point $x_1 = -\frac{5}{2}, x_2 = -\frac{3}{2}$ with $M_1^1 x + q_1^1 = 0$ and $M_2^2 x + q_2^2 = 0$, solves both the GLCP and LP.

Conditions (8) and (9) so far are probably the simplest characterization of generalized linear complementarity problems as linear programs. Many cases are given in [11] for which the conditions (8) and (9) are satisfied for the simpler linear complementarity problem (2). What is still unknown is the scope of these conditions, that is the class of linear complementarity problems and generalized linear complementarity problems for which conditions (8) and (9) can be "easily" verified. It is hoped that future research will clarify and enlarge this scope.

References

1. M.-J. Chien & E. S. Kuh: "Solving resistive networks using piecewise-linear analysis and simplicial subdivision", IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems CAS-24, 1977, 305-317.
2. R. W. Cottle & G. B. Dantzig: "Complementary pivot theory of mathematical programming", Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 1, 1968, 103-125.
3. R. W. Cottle & G. B. Dantzig: "A generalization of the linear complementarity problem", Journal of Combinatorial Theory 8, 1970, 79-90.
4. R. W. Cottle and J. S. Pang: "On solving linear complementarity problems as linear programs", Mathematical Programming Study 7, 1978, 88-107.
5. R. W. Cottle and J. S. Pang: "A least-element theory of solving linear complementarity problems as linear programs", Mathematics of Operations Research 3, 1978, 155-170.
6. B. C. Eaves: "Solving piecewise-linear convex equations", Mathematical Programming Study 1, 1974, 96-119.
7. T. Fujisawa & E. S. Kuh: "Piecewise-linear theory of nonlinear networks", SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics 22, 1972, 307-328.
8. T. Fujisawa, E. S. Kuh & T. Ohtsuki: "A sparse matrix method for analysis of piecewise-linear resistive networks", IEEE Transactions on Circuit Theory CT-19, 1972, 571-584.
9. O. L. Mangasarian: "Linear complementarity problems solvable by a single linear program", Mathematical Programming 10, 1976, 263-270.
10. O. L. Mangasarian: "Solution of linear complementarity problems by linear programming", in G. A. Watson, ed., "Numerical Analysis Dundee 1975", Lecture Notes in Mathematics 506, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976, 166-175.
11. O. L. Mangasarian: "Characterization of linear complementarity problems as linear programs", Mathematical Programming Study 7, 1978, 74-87.
12. O. L. Mangasarian: "Simplified characterizations of linear complementarity problems solvable as linear programs", University of Wisconsin Computer Sciences Department Technical Report No. 305, September 1977, to appear in Mathematics of Operations Research.
13. J. S. Pang: "A note on an open problem in linear complementarity", Mathematical Programming 13, 1977, 360-363.

14. J. S. Pang: "Hidden Z-matrices with positive principal minors", University of Wisconsin Mathematics Research Center Technical Report No. 1776, 1977, to appear in Linear Algebra and Its Applications.