

Village of Rewey


During August and October of 2009 a total of 111 questionnaires were sent to households in the Village of Rewey. A total of 68 questionnaires were returned for a return rate of 61 percent. This number of returned surveys will provide estimates that expected to be accurate to within plus or minus 7.5 percent.

Key results of this survey include:

- Residents reported that they chose to live in the Village of Rewey due to the cost of their home (59%), to be near family and friends (46%) and for the small town atmosphere (44%).
- Relative to Iowa County as a whole, the price of their home and property taxes are factors that are more important for citizens of Rewey in terms of their choice of a place to live.
- With the exception of street and road maintenance, storm water management, police protection and the public library, Rewey residents are generally satisfied with the quality of their community services and facilities. At least 50 percent of all respondents said all other services (snow removal, sanitary sewer service, etc.) were either good or excellent. Interestingly, citizens of Rewey rated many of the services and facilities lower than Iowa County residents as a whole.
- Over 75 percent of all respondents reported that groundwater was an important resource to protect. Of all the other resources about which we asked, at least 50 percent also said that rural character, rivers and streams, forested lands, farmland and air quality should be protected. Opinion about the importance of preserving open space is closely split in Rewey. They were also more likely to rate all natural and cultural resources as less importance than did County residents.
- Rewey residents expressed a need for more starter homes, elderly housing, affordable homes, and single-family homes. They were supportive of improving existing homes and more likely to strongly agree or agree with this option than were County residents. The Village favored the traditional design (larger lots with little or no shared open space), for new developments while the County favored the cluster design (smaller lots with more shared open space).
- Village of Rewey residents disagreed that landowners should be able to develop land any way they want or that it should be used for any purpose. They strongly agreed that agricultural land should be used for agricultural purposes and that large scale (500+ animals) should be allowed 2 miles from incorporated areas. They also feel that the minimum lot size should be between one and 5 acres, which is not surprising since most residents currently own less than one acre of land in Iowa County. County respondents were much more likely to choose minimum lot sizes of 41 or more which is consistent with the fact that 40 percent reported currently owning 11-101+ acres.
- While 70 percent of respondents rated street and road maintenance as fair or poor (see Community Facilities and Services), more than 75% said that the condition of the roads was adequate and that the overall network was adequate (see Transportation Opinions). Also over 75 percent said that sidewalks were fair to poor.
- Rewey residents, want to receive information about comprehensive planning in the form of direct mailings and newsletters.
- Respondents from Rewey are quite supportive of pursuing wind and solar energy developments as a means of spurring economic development, but are not supportive of ethanol development. City residents also agree that jurisdictions should provide some land with infrastructure for industrial and commercial developments and that such developments on the edge of cities and villages should be required to use municipal water and sewer services. They also feel that the development of agriculture related businesses are of importance to the Village.
- Compared to Iowa County as a whole, there were more Village residents reporting that they are self-employed. They also had more one and two adult households, while the County reported more three and four adult households. Income levels were higher for County residents.

SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – QUALITY OF LIFE

- For residents of the Village of Rewey the most important factor in choosing a place to live was reported to be the cost of their home. Also of great importance when choosing to reside in Iowa County was being near family and friends and the small town atmosphere.
- Recreational opportunities, community services, appearance of homes and the historical significance were of little importance in their choice to live in Iowa County.



SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – QUALITY OF LIFE (COUNTY COMPARISON)


- Village of Rewey residents were substantially more likely to consider cost of home as an important factor in their decision to live in Iowa County than the County as a whole. Residents also indicated they were more likely to choose their residence based on property taxes.
- Respondents from the Village of Rewey were considerably less likely to live in Iowa County based on the natural beauty and the recreational opportunities than the county as a whole.

1. What are the three most important reasons you and your family choose to live in Grant County?

	Rewey	County		Rewey	County
a. Agriculture	16%	22%	i. Near Job	32%	30%
b. Appearance of Homes	1%	3%	j. Property Taxes	24%	9%
c. Community Services	0%	2%	k. Quality Neighborhood	9%	9%
d. Cost of Home	59%	17%	l. Quality Schools	6%	13%
e. Historical Significance	1%	5%	m. Recreational Opportunities	0%	13%
f. Low Crime Rate	25%	18%	n. Small Town Atmosphere	44%	51%
g. Natural Beauty	7%	38%	o. Other	4%	9%
h. Near Family and Friends	46%	51%			

SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES

- When asked to rate community facilities, a majority of respondents reported that snow removal, sewer service, recycling programs, public school system, municipal water system, garbage collection, fire protection and ambulance service were good to excellent. However, a majority of them also stated that street & road maintenance was fair to poor.
- Most residents did not have an opinion regarding the public library.
- Opinions within the Village were more evenly split in regards to police protection and park & recreation facilities.



SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES (COUNTY COMPARISON)

- Village of Rewey residents were significantly less likely to rate community facilities and services such as ambulance service, fire protection and police protection and as excellent and more likely to rate them as fair than that of the County as a whole.
- Park and recreation facilities and public library were rated excellent or good significantly less often by respondents from the Village than Iowa County overall.
- Rewey respondents were significantly more likely to rate public library as not applicable, and significantly less likely to rate municipal water system and sanitary sewer system as not applicable than the County.


2. Rate the following local services

	Excellent		Good		Fair		Poor		Not Applicable	
	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
a. Ambulance Service	15%	49%	46%	40%	23%	5%	3%	0%	12%	6%
b. Fire Protection	21%	48%	47%	42%	24%	5%	5%	1%	3%	4%
c. Garbage Collection	30%	27%	56%	41%	9%	11%	0%	3%	5%	18%
d. Municipal Water System	9%	16%	52%	33%	24%	11%	11%	3%	5%	37%
e. Park & Rec Facilities	12%	31%	40%	48%	35%	13%	11%	2%	2%	5%
f. Police Protection	6%	19%	42%	49%	33%	23%	14%	6%	5%	4%
g. Public Library	0%	23%	3%	40%	2%	14%	11%	5%	84%	18%
h. Public School System	11%	19%	57%	52%	11%	15%	2%	3%	19%	10%
i. Recycling Programs	20%	18%	53%	55%	19%	17%	2%	4%	6%	5%
j. Sanitary Sewer Service	15%	13%	48%	40%	26%	9%	6%	1%	5%	36%
k. Snow Removal	19%	21%	42%	53%	25%	16%	11%	5%	3%	6%
l. Storm Water Management	3%	8%	22%	38%	25%	17%	11%	5%	39%	32%
m. Street & Road Maintenance	6%	11%	22%	49%	50%	28%	20%	11%	2%	1%

SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – NATURAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES

- When asked to rate the importance of natural and cultural resources in the area, a majority of residents rated air quality, farmland, forested lands, groundwater, rivers & streams and rural character as important or very important resources to protect in Iowa County.
- Respondent opinions were more evenly split on resources such as wetlands, open space and historic and cultural sites.

Importance of Protecting Natural & Cultural Resources



SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – NATURAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES (COUNTY COMPARISON)


- Respondents from the Village of Rewey were significantly less likely than the County to consider farmland, rivers and streams and wetlands as very important or important resources to protect. They were also significantly more likely to designate these same resources as not applicable.
- Historic and cultural sites as well as scenic views and undeveloped bluffs and wildlife habitat were all rated not applicable more often by Village of Rewey residents than Iowa County respondents.
- Residents of the Village did not rate any of the resources significantly higher than residents of the County as a whole.

4. It is important to protect the following:

	Very Important		Important		Unimportant		Very Unimportant		Not Applicable	
	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
a. Air Quality	47%	53%	26%	32%	25%	14%	1%	1%	0%	1%
b. Farmland	31%	40%	41%	35%	22%	21%	2%	3%	5%	1%
c. Forested Lands	22%	36%	28%	37%	23%	24%	3%	2%	23%	1%
d. Groundwater	60%	61%	26%	27%	12%	11%	0%	1%	2%	0%
e. Historic and Cultural Sites	15%	19%	27%	29%	32%	41%	11%	10%	15%	2%
f. Open Space	20%	27%	32%	31%	37%	33%	9%	7%	2%	1%
g. Rivers and Streams	26%	47%	26%	34%	18%	18%	3%	1%	27%	1%
h. Rural Character	22%	28%	39%	36%	33%	29%	5%	6%	2%	1%
i. Scenic Views & Undevel Bluffs	23%	32%	25%	32%	23%	27%	6%	8%	22%	1%
j. Wetlands	17%	32%	15%	27%	21%	30%	8%	9%	39%	2%
k. Wildlife Habitat	28%	35%	19%	31%	28%	28%	3%	5%	21%	1%

SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – HOUSING

- A majority of Village of Rewey respondents reported they agreed or strongly agreed with the need for elderly housing. While 75% or more of the respondents stated that starter homes, affordable housing, single family homes and improvement of existing homes were needed in the Village.
- However, many people disagreed or strongly disagreed with the need for more apartments in the Village of Rewey.



SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – HOUSING (COUNTY COMPARISON)

- Respondents from the Village were significantly more likely to strongly agree with the need to improve existing housing than the County.
- They also showed a stronger interest in single family housing and starter homes and were less likely to disagree with the need for these type of residences than the County average.
- A majority of Rewey residents prefer residential developments with the traditional design (larger lots with little or no shared open space) rather than the cluster design (smaller lots with more shared open space). This is entirely opposite of the preferences of the Iowa County population as a whole.

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		No Opinion	
	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
5. Improve existing housing quality.	48%	17%	40%	49%	6%	15%	0%	4%	6%	14%
6. Need following housing types:										
a. Single Family Housing	38%	19%	39%	44%	2%	12%	3%	4%	18%	22%
b. Duplexes (2 units)	13%	7%	33%	35%	17%	23%	7%	11%	30%	24%
c. Apartments (3 or more units)	10%	6%	17%	25%	27%	29%	15%	16%	31%	24%
7. Need affordable housing	30%	22%	45%	43%	14%	15%	3%	6%	8%	15%
8. Need elderly housing	19%	14%	44%	43%	16%	19%	5%	5%	16%	18%
9. Need starter homes	28%	17%	52%	40%	5%	20%	5%	6%	11%	18%

10. Would you prefer housing built in a traditional design (Option A) or a cluster design (Option B)?

OPTION A

OPTION B



Traditional Design		Cluster Design	
Rewey	County	Rewey	County

69%

31%


31%

69%




SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – AGRICULTURE AND LAND USE


- Respondents agreed almost unanimously that driveways meet Village emergency standards. They also reported very consistently that visual impact should be considered in developments and that agricultural land should be used for agricultural purposes only. Additionally, a majority disagreed that people should be allowed to develop land any way they want and that agricultural land should be allowed to be used for commercial or any use. Interestingly, however, over half of Village respondents stated that they agreed or strongly agreed that agricultural land could be used for residential purposes.
- With respect to large scale farms, those with 500 animals or more, residents feel they should be allowed, but only outside of a two mile radius from incorporated areas.
- A majority (over 55%) favor small minimum lot sizes of 5 acres or less. This is consistent with the fact that a vast majority (over 70%) report currently owning less than one acre of land in Iowa County.



Preferred Minimum Lot Size



Acres Owned in Iowa County



SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – AGRICULTURE AND LAND USE (COUNTY COMPARISON)

- Respondents from the Village of Rewey agreed with the County as a whole that agricultural land should be used for agricultural uses however, residents of the Village were much more likely to say that they agreed with this intended use, while the county was much more likely to strongly agree. They were also more likely to disagree or strongly disagree that agricultural land should be used for residences or commercial businesses than the county as a whole.
- Rewey residents were significantly more likely to say that large scale farms (those with more than 500 animals) should be allowed outside of a two mile radius from incorporated areas. They were also slightly less likely to disagree with this placement than the general County population.
- Over half (60%) of respondents agreed or strongly agreed that there should be minimum lot sizes placed on rural development. The county in general was more likely to agree with this statement than the residents of the Village of Rewey.
- In both the Village of Rewey, and the County a majority of respondents agreed that the lot size should be 5 acres or less. However, county residents were much more likely than Village residents to chose 41 or more acres as the minimum lot size. Along these lines it is not surprising to see that significantly more people in the Village of Rewey (73%) currently own less than 1 acre while the overall 40% of County residents own more than 11 acres (with 20% of these owning 101 acres or more).

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		No Opinion	
	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
11a. Use ag land for ag	57%	72%	37%	25%	3%	1%	0%	0%	3%	2%
11b. Use ag land for residences	12%	6%	42%	32%	27%	35%	12%	22%	8%	5%
11c. Use ag land for commercial	7%	3%	27%	19%	32%	40%	20%	31%	14%	6%
11d. Use ag land for any use	13%	6%	13%	10%	21%	33%	30%	41%	23%	10%
12. Expand lg farms (500+ an. units):										
a. Anywhere in Iowa County	11%	8%	16%	18%	38%	37%	29%	31%	7%	6%
b. Nowhere in Iowa County	11%	18%	14%	15%	46%	37%	21%	20%	9%	10%
c. 2 miles outside inc areas	41%	16%	39%	41%	7%	16%	8%	15%	5%	11%
13. Develop land any way wanted	11%	12%	23%	18%	48%	43%	13%	24%	5%	3%
14. Consider visual impacts of devel	38%	31%	52%	54%	3%	9%	0%	3%	6%	4%
15. Driveways meet EMS standards	42%	34%	52%	53%	3%	8%	2%	3%	2%	2%

16. There should be a minimum lot size on residential development in rural areas.

Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		No Opinion	
Rewey	Cnty	Rewey	Cnty	Rewey	Cnty	Rewey	Cnty	Rewey	Cnty
29%	27%	31%	44%	25%	18%	8%	6%	8%	5%

17. In your opinion, what should the minimum lot size be for rural residential development?


Less than 1 acre		1 to 5 acres		6 to 10 acres		11 to 40 acres		41 or more acres		No Limitation	
Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
16%	12%	41%	41%	20%	13%	6%	10%	3%	15%	14%	9%

38. How many acres of land do you own in Iowa County?


Less Than 1 acre		1-10 acres		11-100 acres		101 acres or more	
Rewey	Cnty	Rewey	Cnty	Rewey	Cnty	Rewey	Cnty
73%	42%	17%	18%	2%	20%	8%	20%

SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – TRANSPORTATION

- When asked about modes of transportation, a majority of Rewey residents reported that walking and biking were important to them. However, they did not feel that more walking and biking trails were needed on public roads. They also stated that the current road conditions were adequate and that road networks were adequate, in stark contrast to the results summarized on page 5 (Community Facilities and Services).
- They were also asked to evaluate other modes of transportation within the village. In all instances more residents rated them as fair or poor than excellent or good. A large majority (over 75%) rated the Village sidewalks as fair or poor.



Transportation Quality in Local Jurisdiction



SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – TRANSPORTATION (COUNTY COMPARISON)

- When compared to the County as a whole, Village residents were more likely to disagree with the statement that road networks were meeting their needs. They were also much less likely to agree that more biking and walking trails were needed on public roads.
- Respondents were asked to rate all modes of transportation in their Village. In all instances, except for roads and sidewalks, the majority indicated that they were not applicable to them. Rewey residents were less likely to rate their roads and sidewalks as good or excellent than Iowa County residents as a whole.

18-21. Transportation issues

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		No Opinion	
	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
18. Road network meets needs	8%	17%	70%	74%	17%	7%	3%	1%	3%	2%
19. Condition of roads adequate	8%	13%	73%	67%	12%	15%	6%	4%	2%	1%
20. Biking & walking imp modes trans	17%	10%	47%	43%	23%	28%	9%	8%	5%	11%
21. Need more bike & walk lanes on pub roads	12%	14%	18%	31%	37%	31%	17%	14%	15%	10%


22. Rate the following for your local jurisdiction

	Excellent		Good		Fair		Poor		Not Applicable	
	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
a. Roads	5%	12%	38%	62%	46%	19%	11%	6%	0%	0%
b. Sidewalks	2%	3%	5%	33%	36%	22%	55%	9%	3%	34%
c. Bike Trails	0%	8%	0%	33%	6%	15%	16%	8%	78%	35%
d. Airports	0%	5%	2%	23%	0%	12%	3%	5%	95%	56%
e. Bus Service	0%	1%	2%	5%	3%	5%	6%	17%	89%	73%
f. Shared Ride Van Services	0%	1%	5%	11%	7%	14%	8%	14%	80%	59%
g. Railroads	0%	1%	0%	3%	0%	4%	3%	15%	97%	77%

SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS - COMMUNICATION

- A large majority (over 80%) of Village residents would prefer to receive comprehensive plan information via direct mailing, while nearly 60% would also prefer newsletters. Radio and newspaper articles were the least favored media for communication.

Communication Preferences for Comp Plan Info



SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – COMMUNICATIONS (COUNTY COMPARISON)


- Rewey residents and the county both preferred direct mailings as their top choice for receiving communication, but the preference was stronger among Rewey residents. Rewey residents more strongly preferred newsletters than the County as their second choice.

3. What are 2 most effective ways your jurisdiction could provide Comprehensive Planning information to landowners and residents?


	Rewey	County
a. Direct Mailing	84%	65%
b. Newspaper Articles	10%	36%
c. Radio	3%	13%
d. Newsletters	57%	36%
e. Public Meeting	24%	32%

SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

- Over 75% of Rewey residents agreed or strongly agreed that wind and solar should be pursued as economic development opportunities. They also agreed or strongly agreed that some land with municipal water and sewer should be provided for industrial or commercial uses, development on the edge of city should be required to have municipal water, and that the County should coordinate recruitment of new businesses.
- When asked about commercial or industrial buildings involving truck traffic and manufacturing activities, a majority of residents either agreed or strongly agreed that they should be located near a city or village. About half agreed or strongly agreed with placing such activities in a city, and half disagreed or strongly disagreed with locating these activities anywhere in the county.
- A majority of respondents agreed that it was important to develop agriculture related businesses in the area. However, many people disagreed with the development of tourism and recreation, home based business, and downtown development. Opinions were evenly split on commercial and retail development and industry and manufacturing.



Importance of Business Development



SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (COUNTY COMPARISON)

- Overall, Village of Rewey residents were less likely to strongly agree that commercial or industrial buildings should be located within an existing city. They were also more likely to agree that such development could be located anywhere in Iowa County.
- More Village residents had no opinion about providing land and infrastructure for commercial and industrial business than the County.
- Village respondents were more likely to strongly agree with the need for development of wind energy than Iowa County residents were. However, they were less likely to agree with the need for ethanol plants.

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		No Opinion	
	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
23. Commercial or industrial buildings and activities involving truck traffic and manufacturing should be located:										
a. In an existing city or a village	10%	19%	40%	45%	26%	22%	11%	6%	13%	8%
b. Near a city or village	18%	17%	60%	64%	9%	8%	2%	3%	12%	7%
c. Anywhere in Iowa County	7%	6%	31%	17%	34%	36%	16%	31%	12%	8%
24. Coordinate business recruitment	48%	45%	44%	43%	3%	6%	0%	3%	5%	3%
25. Provide land & infrastructure for industry/commerce	13%	19%	50%	47%	13%	19%	2%	6%	23%	9%
26. Required muni water & sewer	27%	23%	51%	51%	14%	13%	0%	3%	8%	10%

27. Iowa County jurisdictions should pursue the following energy alternatives as a form of economic development:

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		No Opinion	
	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
a. Ethanol Plants	17%	17%	19%	36%	37%	20%	13%	7%	14%	20%
b. Solar Energy	39%	28%	42%	48%	3%	9%	3%	2%	13%	13%
c. Wind Energy	41%	38%	47%	48%	5%	4%	2%	1%	6%	8%

- In regards to tourism and recreation, Village respondents were considerably less likely to rate it as an important area for economic development. They were also more likely to consider tourism and recreational development as not applicable.
- Residents of the Village were significantly less likely to report that agriculture related business, downtown development, and industry and manufacturing were very important. Also they indicated commercial and retail business as unimportant more frequently than the County as a whole.

28. Rate the importance of the following:

	Very Important		Important		Unimportant		Very Unimportant		Not Applicable	
	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
a. Ag Related Business	35%	43%	37%	35%	20%	19%	3%	1%	5%	2%
b. Commercial & Retail	17%	22%	30%	39%	46%	32%	2%	5%	5%	2%
c. Downtown Devel	8%	22%	28%	31%	38%	34%	12%	8%	14%	5%
d. Home-Based Bus	6%	9%	22%	23%	48%	41%	12%	18%	12%	9%
e. Ind & Manufacturing	9%	19%	31%	33%	40%	35%	6%	9%	14%	4%
f. Tourism & Rec	11%	27%	20%	33%	40%	30%	12%	7%	17%	3%

SUMMARY OF KEY POINTS – DEMOGRAPHICS

- There was no significant difference between the County and Village in respondent gender or age. However, there were significantly more Village respondents that indicated being self-employed while there were more County residents who were unemployed.
- Home ownership was common among Rewey residents and the County. Village residents reported more one and two adult households, while the County respondents reported more three and four adult households. There was not a significant difference in the number of children reported in the households.
- Household income range was reported to be slightly lower for the Village of Rewey with significantly more Village residents reporting an income of \$15K-\$24,999. Proportionately more County residents reported making \$100,000+ than Village residents.
- With the small number of non-resident responses removed, the length of residence was nearly the same as the County as a whole.

30. Gender

Male		Female	
Rewey	Cnty	Rewey	Cnty
56%	63%	44%	37%

31. Age

18-24		25-34		35-44	
Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
5%	1%	9%	8%	19%	18%

45-54		55-64		65 and older	
Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
22%	25%	22%	22%	23%	27%

32. Employment Status

Full Time		Part Time		Self Employed	
Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
47%	44%	5%	7%	23%	1%

Unemployed		Retired		Other	
Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
6%	17%	19%	25%	0%	6%

33. Place of Residence

Own		Rent		Other	
Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
100%	97%	0%	1%	0%	1%

34. Number of Adults (18+) in Household

0		1		2	
Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
		31%	3%	57%	18%

3		4		5+	
Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
11%	69%	0%	8%	0%	2%

35. Number of Children (under 18) in Household

0		1		2	
Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
61%	68%	17%	13%	14%	12%

3		4		5+	
Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
5%	5%	3%	2%	0%	0%

36. Household Income

Under \$15,000		\$15K - \$24,999		\$25K - \$49,999	
Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
10%	7%	27%	14%	33%	30%

\$50K - \$74,999		\$75K - \$99,999		\$100,000+	
Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
21%	26%	10%	13%	0%	9%

37. Years Lived in Iowa County

Less than 1		1-4		5-9	
Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty	Rew.	Cnty
2%	1%	8%	11%	8%	10%

10-24		25+	
Rewey	Cnty	Rewey	Cnty
22%	20%	59%	57%