Your Action Requested
David P. Butts, President, 1985-86

We hear —
Dare we listen?
The Chinese proverb says
I hear and I forget
I see and I remember
I do and I understand.

At our French Lick meeting, we heard four practitioners share with us the questions they hoped would help us search anew — or research. These thirty questions or targets for study were nearly equally divided into four categories.

How to link science with the goals of schooling — What science will help which students accomplish what outcomes valued in schools.

How to link science with students — What science will help which students accomplish that which will have meaning for them while maintaining the integrity of scientific accuracy.

How to link science with teachers — What teacher formation strategies will help teachers accomplish the goals of science, schooling, and students along with how to maintain the professional performance of teachers over time.

How to link science and instruction — What teaching strategy will enhance the impact of student experiences in science that are consistent with both students and schooling objectives.

The four categories are familiar to each of us. We have heard them before. We also know that in science classrooms and in our research studies there is the potential of much data searching for questions.

Our challenge — will we listen? Will we hear and forget — or will we dare to link the data to creative analyses and questions so that our (the science education researcher) answers will respond to their (the science teachers) questions?

The State of the Association
Presidential Address

The National Association for Research in Science Teaching
French Lick Springs, Indiana
April 18, 1985
Ertle Thompson, President, 1984-85

The National Association for Research in Science Teaching was founded in 1928 for the purpose of promoting research in science education at all educational levels, and of disseminating the findings of this research in such ways as to improve science teaching. Webster defines research as "diligent, protracted investigation: studious inquiry". Secondly, "research is a systematic investigation of some phenomenon or series of phenomena by the experimental method". Webster also defines research — to search again or anew. The new Roget's Thesaurus defines research — investigate, explore, inquire. To achieve the purposes of the Association we must vigorously explore the frontiers of human knowledge on many fronts. Not only must we pursue through inquiry and investigative experimental methods greater understanding of the nature of knowledge and how learning occurs, we must also explore with equal vigor new channels of dissemination and effective and efficient methods of implementation. When I assumed the presidency of NARST in New Orleans a year ago, I stated to you three major goals for myself as President for the coming year. These were: (1) clarification of operational procedures and policies for NARST, (2) the development of agenda/calendar of operations, (3) the enhancement of involvement of NARST with NSTA affiliates and the greater science education community. I feel both confident and pleased to report to the Association membership today that much progress has been made toward the attainment of those goals. Your three Presidents, working cooperatively with the Board, appropriate committees, and the Executive Secretary have made significant achievements relative to goals 1 and 2. It has also been extremely gratifying to me, as President, to observe the positive
responses and recommendations of the more than 50 members who served on the ad hoc committees for interorganizational liaison, and membership services. With the widest possible geographic distribution and also maximum breadth of research interests represented by the committee membership, ideas and recommendations which challenge the Association to bolder leadership in the profession, have been generated. I am confident that this involvement of the membership at large has been the most significant factor in the positive turnaround in our membership. The recommendations from the two ad hoc committees have been presented to the Board for review, deliberation, and future action.

The financial status of the Association is solvent, but certainly there is no operating surplus in the budget. The question of budget priorities is a perennial one with all professional organizations, and NARST is no exception. The Board and the membership must deal with the hard question of financing the operations of the Association. Individuals who serve as officers and board members can no longer be expected to bear the heavy financial burdens for participation in Association affairs. Institutional budgets, for the most part, are even more restrictive. The membership through the Policy Advisory Committee and Financial Advisory Committee must address the issues regarding budget priorities and expenditures, and the question of dues increases, and/or fund raising activities to adequately support the activities of the organization, if we are to be viable and influential.

Now to return to my opening comments regarding definitions of research. There can be little doubt that the greatest success of NARST, as a professional organization, is in the area of promoting quality research and facilitating the communication of those research findings to its members through the annual meeting and the publication of the Journal of Research in Science Teaching. Our journal is recognized nationally and internationally as a quality publication reporting scholarly activity. However, if we are to achieve greater impact on science education and provide leadership necessary to improve science teaching in classrooms, we must look to other avenues than JRST. Science teachers just do not read the journal. This provides both challenge and opportunity to the members of NARST for greater cooperative effort with their colleagues in the science departments and teachers K-12 to translate the findings of science education research to application and practice in the classroom settings. I am fully aware that this is probably the most debatable issue among large numbers of our members. However, to me it is analogous to the situation in engineering and engineering education versus pure science as a raging debate in this country over the past 20 years. It was not until the beginning of the economic crisis of the late '70s and the threat of the formation of a national agency for engineering, the equivalent of the National Science Foundation, that engineering began to receive consideration and funding within the National Science Foundation. Interpretation and application of research knowledge to classroom practice, in the teaching of the sciences, is just as important to the educational well being of students in science as the application of scientific knowledge in engineering to the economic well being of industry.

Another challenge to the Association is that of broadening the horizons, without diminishing standards, to accept to the greatest degree possible the reports of the first research efforts of new members, and to use the annual meeting as a forum for more open, positive discussion and the nurturing of young talent. We have far too many one- or two-year memberships in NARST, after which the members disappear, never to be heard from again. I should think that as members of the Association we would be interested in the question, why? Certainly, the increase in the number of research methods seminars, and workshops, and the symposia dealing with the multiplicity of problems in science education have consistently had high ratings and praise by the evaluators in the past three conventions. Should we be doing more of these types of things at the annual meeting?

All of my discussion to this point has centered on goals, issues, concerns, and activities which are internal to NARST as an organization. These, I think, are far less problematic than dealing with the external problems which have been impressed upon me vigorously throughout my year as President. I referred previously to the fact that we have little or no impact on science teaching in classrooms, other than as individual members working with teachers, because our chief instrument of communication, the JRST, is not perceived by teachers as being readable and applicable. On the much broader front, NARST is not perceived by those agencies of the federal government having primary responsibility for policy decision making, in this country, as being a primary and significant source of information and talent. This perception persists throughout the agencies, despite the fact that many individuals in our Association, some of whom are present in this room today, are held in highest esteem and frequently consulted on policy decisions. The same could be said for state and local education agencies and private industry. Recently through the efforts of Dave Butts as President-elect and myself as President we were able to secure invitations for Association representation and participation in the national
workshop on the implementation of Public Law 98-377 for the support of excellence in mathematics and science education, to be funded through the U.S. Department of Education to the extent of $100,000,000 per year for 1985 and 1986; and also, the recent national meeting of the Triangle Coalition for Science and Technology Education. The Coalition is a joint council of representatives from business, industry, labor, education, and science and engineering groups that have committed some of their resources to the improvement of science teaching. My concern, as President of NARST, and I think it should be the concern of every member of NARST, is that in these specific instances Dave Butts and I had to seek invitations rather than having the agencies and organizations approach NARST. If we as science education researchers, individually, and collectively as an Association believe in the value of our research and the goals of this organization, we need to work vigorously and aggressively to confront these challenges, and to make the National Association for Research in Science Teaching the leadership organization it deserves to be.

1985 Meeting Deemed a Success
Registrants increased from 210 (1984) to 307 (1985)
Using discussants increased paper “quality”

The 1985 meeting at French Lick Springs, Indiana, proved to be a popular meeting site for NARST researchers. Surprisingly, more than 40% of the members registered for the 1985 meeting, perhaps, because past resort settings have gained the reputation as a place which allowed researchers to discuss a variety of topics without the hassles of city settings. (In 1984, NARST met in New Orleans.)

Most people at French Lick informally recommended that NARST continue its policy of occasionally meeting outside of cities even though some transportation problems inevitably occur. In this respect, some people recommended that future resort sites be located closer to the convention cities designated by NSTA and AERA.

The quality of papers and presentations at this year’s meeting was higher, according to many attendees. The most frequent speculation given for this “higher” performance was the fact that presenters were required to mail their final drafts to discussants one month before the annual meeting. Thus, presenters were well prepared in advance of the meeting and discussants were in an excellent position to provide sophisticated critiques. Contrary to experiences at other conventions, a survey of discussants uncovered only three incidences where presenters did not forward papers to discussants.

Finally, NARST and its program committee were grateful to the science educators at the University of Cincinnati who contributed perhaps more to the success of this meeting than any other local arrangement committee in the history of NARST, as noted in the financial report.

Winners of the 1985 Awards
The Best Article published in Research Journal
Two Best Papers presented at annual meeting
Three Practical Application Awards

The awards committees are proud to announce the winners of each contest.


“Management and Organization in Science Classrooms”
Julie P. Sanford
University of Texas at Austin

The NARST award committee, chaired by Linda DeTure, announces the winners of the 1985 NARST Outstanding Paper Awards — best paper presented at the 1984 annual meeting of NARST. This year, two papers received the award because of a tie vote from committee members.

“Forgetting Versus Savings: The Many Facets of Long-TermRetention”
Hanna J. Arzi
Stone Hall,
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853
Ruth Ben-Zvi and Uri Ganiel
Dept. of Science Teaching
Weizmann Institute of Science
Rehovot, Israel

“And Analyzing Relationships Among Modes of Cognitive Reasoning and Integrated Science Process Skills”
Russell H. Yeany, Kueh Chin Yap, and Michael J.
Padilla
Department of Science Education, University of Georgia
Athens, Georgia 30602

The winners of the Practical Application Award Papers — best applied papers presented at the 1984 annual meeting went to six people.

“Effects of Laboratory Activities and Written Simulations on the Acquisition of Graphing Skills by Eight Grade Students”
Dan L. McKenzie
College of Education, University of South Carolina
Columbia, S.C. 29208
Michael J. Padilla
Department of Science Education, University of Georgia
Athens, Georgia 30602

"Effects of Lab Instruction Emphasizing Process Skills on Achievement of Students Having Different Cognitive Development Levels"
Margaret Waikosz
Division of Biological Sciences, University of Georgia
Athens, Georgia 30602

Russell H. Yeany
Department of Science Education, University of Georgia
Athens, Georgia 30602

"The Impact of Microcomputer Simulations on the Achievement and Attitude of High School Physical Science Student"
Kevin C. Wise
933 Shimkin Hall, Science Education,
New York University
New York, NY 10003

James R. Okey
Department of Science Education, University of Georgia
Athens, Georgia 30602

Outstanding Paper and Practical Application Award
An invitation to submit your 1985 NARST paper to awards committee
Submission Deadline: September 11

Each year at the annual meeting of the Association, the NARST Awards Committee recognizes persons whose papers, presented at the meeting the preceding year, are judged to be outstanding for their contributions to science education research or for practical applications of research to classroom practice.

The NARST Awards Committee invites all persons who presented papers at the 1985 meeting in French Lick to submit ten (10) copies of the complete paper and an abstract (a copy of the abstract should accompany each paper) for consideration for the Outstanding Paper Award. These awards will be presented at the next NARST meeting in San Francisco.

Papers are judged on (1) significance of problem investigated, (2) conceptual background, (3) research approach, (4) methodology, (5) significance of outcomes, (6) conclusions, (7) communication of information, (8) overall uniqueness. For the practical applications award, there is a ninth category: evidence of practical applications for practitioners.

Please send, by September 11, 1985, the ten (10) copies of your paper and abstract and a stamped self-addressed post card (which will be returned to you upon receipt of your papers) to:

Patricia E. Blosser
ERIC Clearinghouse for Science, Mathematics, and Environmental Education
1200 Chambers Road, Rm. 310
Columbus, OH 43212

Please include a cover sheet containing the following information: Name, address with zip code, telephone numbers and designate — outstanding award or practical application award.

Officers and Committee Members for 1985-1986

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY
William G. Holliday
NARST-EDCI
University of Calgary
Calgary, Alberta
Canada T2N 1N4

PRESIDENT
David P. Butts
Department of Science Education
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James Barufaldi
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IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT
Erle Thompson
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Ohio State University
Columbus, OH 43210-1172

Jane Bowyer (1988)
School of Education
Mills College
Oakland, CA 94613
Marcia C. Linn (1986)
Lawrence Hall of Science
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

Michael J. Padilla (1987)
Department of Science Education
University of Georgia
Athens, GA 30602

Gerald S. Koo (1986)
National Science Teachers Association
1742 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20009

John Staver (1986)
School of Education
University of Illinois at Chicago
Chicago, IL 60655

EDITOR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN
SCIENCE TEACHING
Russell H. Yeany (1989)
Department of Science Education
University of Georgia
Athens, GA 30602

RESEARCH COORDINATOR
Rodney L. Doran (1986)
553 Blady Hall
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Amherst, NY 14260

FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Chairman:
Marcia C. Linn (1986)
Lawrence Hall of Science
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

Members:
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School of Education
Indiana University
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H. Seymour Fowler (1986)
Science Education
University of Pennsylvania
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Jerry G. Horn
School of Education
Kansas State University
Manhattan, KS 66506

William G. Holliday (ex officio)
NARST-EDCI
University of Calgary
Calgary, Alberta
Canada T2N 1N4

COMMITTEE CHARGE
The financial advisory committee is responsible for considering the annual budget prepared by the executive secretary, approve program expenses, approve publication costs and new ventures, offer advice and recommendations to the executive board regarding all financial affairs of the Association, and conduct an annual audit of the financial accounts of the Association.

The members include a chairman (three-year term), three members (with three-year rotating terms), and the executive secretary (ex-officio).

PUBLICATIONS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Chairman:
Glen Aikenhead (1986)
Faculty of Education
University of Saskatchewan
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Canada S7N 0W0

Members:
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Louisiana State University
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Education
University of Nebraska
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University of South Carolina
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Department of Science Education
University of Georgia
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Canada T2N 1N4

COMMITTEE CHARGE
The publication advisory committee is responsible for establishing policy concerning the newsletter, the journal, and special publication ventures of the Association; reviewing arrangements with editors of publications; and suggesting changes in yearly publication practices to the executive board.

The members include a chairman (three-year term), three members (with three-year rotating terms), and the editor (ex-officio).

POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Chairman:
Erlie Thompson (1986)
Ruffner Hall
University of Virginia
Charlottesville, VA 22903

Members:
James P. Barufaldi (1987)
Science Education Center
University of Texas at Austin
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Ronald G. Good (1986)
Science Education
Florida State University
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Ann C. Howe (1987)
Department of Science and Mathematics Education
North Carolina State University
Raleigh, NC 27650

William G. Holliday (ex officio)
NARST-EDCI
University of Calgary
Calgary, Alberta
Canada T2N 1N4

COMMITTEE CHARGE
The policy advisory committee is responsible for annually reviewing the bylaws, changes in structure or orientation of the Association, and reviewing of new activities or ventures of the Association.

REPRESENTATIVES TO ADVISORY BOARD
Investigations in Science Education
Glen Aikenhead (1986)
Faculty of Education
University of Saskatchewan
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan
Canada S7N 0W0

Susan M. Johnson (1988)
Ball State University
Muncie, IN 47304

David R. Stronck (1987)
Faculty of Education
University of Victoria
Victoria, B.C.
Canada V8W 2Y2

REPRESENTATIVE TO AMERICAN
ASSOCIATION FOR ADVANCEMENT
OF SCIENCE
J. David Lockard (1986)
The International Clearinghouse
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742

ARCHIVIST
Paul H. Joslin
Reading-Learning Center
Drake University
Des Moines, IA 50311

PROGRAM COMMITTEE
Chairman:
James P. Barufaldi (1986)
University of Texas at Austin
Science Education Center
Austin, TX 78712
Members:
F. Gerald Dillashaw (1987)  
College of Education  
Bradley University  
Peoria, IL 61625  

Rodney L. Doran (1986)  
553 Baldy Hall  
SUNY at Buffalo  
Amherst, NY 14260  

Thomas R. Koballa, Jr. (1987)  
Science Education Center  
University of Texas at Austin  
Austin, TX 78712  

Edmund A. Marek (1986)  
329 Physical Sciences Building  
University of Oklahoma  
Norman, OK 73019  

Joseph P. Riley  
Department of Science Education  
University of Georgia  
Athens, GA 30602  

Robert D. Shenwood (1986)  
Education  
Vanderbilt University  
Nashville, TN 37203  

Emmett L. Wright (1986)  
Education  
Kansas State University  
Manhattan, KS 66502  

William G. Holliday (ex-officio)  
NARST-EDCI  
University of Calgary  
Calgary, Alberta  
Canada T2N 1N4  

COMMITTEE CHARGE  
The program committee is responsible for establishing the theme and format for the annual meeting, arranging for special speakers and symposia, and evaluating abstracts for contributed papers, and the evaluation of annual meetings. (A local arrangement committee may be established, if desired.)  

The membership of this committee consists of the president-elect as chairman, six members on two year rotating terms and as ex-officio members, the research coordinator and the executive secretary.

RESEARCH COMMITTEE  
Chairman:  
Rodney L. Doran (1986)  
553 Baldy Hall  
SUNY at Buffalo  
Amherst, NY 14260  

Members:  
Jane Bowyer (1988)  
Education  
Mills College  
Oakland, CA 94613  
Roger T. Johnson (1986)  
150 Pillsbury Drive, S.E., 202 Pattee Hall  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis, MN 55455  

103 Stone Hall  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, NY 14853  

William Holliday (ex-officio)  
NARST-EDCI  
The University of Calgary  
Calgary, Alberta  
Canada T2N 1N4  

COMMITTEE CHARGE  
The research committee is responsible for preparing yearly reviews of research in science education, working closely with the ERIC Center at the Ohio State University and recommending needed areas of research, research symposia, and training programs.  

The membership of this committee consists of chairman and three members with two year rotating terms. The executive secretary is an ex-officio member of the committee.

ELECTION COMMITTEE  
Chairman:  
Erlie Thompson (1986)  
Ruffner Hall  
University of Virginia  
Charlottesville, VA 22903  

Members:  
Robert W. Howe (1986)  
ERIC Center  
Ohio State University  
Columbus, OH 43210  

David Lockard (1986)  
The International Clearinghouse  
University of Maryland  
College Park, MD 20742  

COMMITTEE CHARGE  
The nomination committee is responsible for establishing the slate of officers for each election four months prior to the date of the annual meeting, making arrangements for preparation and distribution of ballots three months prior to the date of the annual meeting, tabulating election results, notify candidates of the outcome of the election a month prior to their assuming office, announcing election results at the annual meeting, and arrange for installation of new officers and transfer of the responsibilities of the executive board for the Association.  

The membership of this committee shall consist of the immediate past president as chairman and two members with one year terms.

AWARDS COMMITTEE  
AWARDS STEERING COMMITTEE:
Chairman:  
Michael J. Padilla (1988)  
Department of Science Education  
University of Georgia  
Athens, GA 30602

Members:  
Patricia E. Blosser (1988)  
ERIC Center  
Ohio State University  
Columbus, OH 43210  

John W. Butzow (1986)  
136 Jackson Street  
Indiana, PA 15701  

James D. Ellis (1986)  
Bio Science Curriculum Study  
Colorado College  
Colorado Springs, CO 80903  

Joy S. Lindbeck (1987)  
382 Keith Avenue  
Akron, OH 44313  

Peter A. Rubba, Jr. (1987)  
Room 160 Chambers Building  
Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, PA 16802  

John R. Staver (1988)  
School of Education  
University of Illinois at Chicago  
Chicago, IL 60655  

William G. Holliday (ex-officio)  
NARST-EDCI  
University of Calgary  
Calgary, Alberta  
Canada T2N 1N4  

AWARDS SUB-COMMITTEES  
A. JRST AWARD  
Chairman:  
John R. Staver (1988)  
School of Education  
University of Illinois at Chicago  
Chicago, IL 60655  

Members:  
J. Hugh Baird (1986)  
Box 72 McKay  
Brigham Young University  
Provo, UT 84602  

John W. Butzow (1986)  
Education  
Indiana University of Pennsylvania  
Indiana, PA 15701  

Eugene L. Chiappetta (1987)  
Education  
University of Houston  
Houston, TX 77004
1984 Financial Report

A. Direct Support, Revenue and Reserves

1. Membership Dues $27,605
   491 regular
   70 student
   53 outside North America
   4 emeritus — special
2. Registration Fees $ 7,077
3. Journal Royalties $ 1,635
4. Miscellaneous Income $ 920
5. Local Donation $ 2,104
6. Carryover Funds from 1983 $ 4,086
7. Dallas-Hilton Banquet $ 1,650
8. Revenue deferred $ 573

B. Expenditures (accounting categories used by The University of Calgary)

1. Clerical & Stenographic $ 758.30
2. Materials $ 84.23
3. Courier Service $ 55.47
4. Office Supplies & Printing $ 4,736.25
5. Books & Periodicals $12,656.52
   (awards)
6. Postage $ 2,990.19
7. Telephone $ 3,778.05
8. Travel (& meetings) $14,563.92
9. Entertainment $ 249.53
10. Legal & Audit Fees $ 52.49
11. Unspent Balance $ 5,665.00
   (not an expenditure)

C. Certification

Members of the board of directors have been presented with statements of certification regarding the accuracy of the general revenues and specific expenditures described above. These statements were certified by the Business Officer, Controller's Office, University of Calgary and by the executive secretary of NARST. Revenues and expenditures of NARST are processed through the Controller's Office in accordance with accounting procedures authorized by the Canadian Province of Alberta. Detailed accounts and original copies of all financial documents are available at the Controller's Office for inspection by persons authorized by the board of directors of NARST.

D. Recent Contributions to NARST

Members of NARST contributed about 50% of the funds required to support the Association, and registrants at French Lick Springs meeting contributed about 20% of the funds required to support the annual meeting. For example, the University of Cincinnati, through the efforts of Drs. Glenn Markle, Ted Fowler and Piyush Swami, contributed about $10,000 toward the success of our 1985 meeting.

In addition, The University of Georgia, through David Butts (president), Russell Yearn (editor of JRST), and Michael Padilla (board member) continue to contribute unusually large amounts of support to NARST. NARST remain grateful to their college of education.

The University of Calgary appreciates the recognition received from NARST and is grateful for the opportunity to help NARST in its efforts to improve science teaching — through research.

B. NARST AWARDS

Chairman:
Patricia E. Bloesser (1987)
Science and Mathematics Education
The Ohio State University
Columbus, OH 43210-1172

Members:
Dale R. Baker (1986)
133 MBH
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
Joel E. Bass (1986)
Sam Houston State University
Huntsville, TX 77340
Lowell Bethel (1987)
Science Education Center
University of Texas at Austin
Austin, TX 78712
Linda Crow (1986)
Houston Community College System
Houston, TX 77070
William B. McIlwaine (1987)
Millersville State College
Millersville, PA 17551

Peter A. Rubba, Jr. (1987)
Room 160 Chambers Building
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802
J. Nathan Swift (1986)
Secondary Education Department
SUNY-Oswego
Oswego, NY 13126
Richard L. Williams (1987)
Faculty of Education
University of Victoria
Victoria, British Columbia
Canada V8W 2Y2

COMMITTEE CHARGE

The awards steering committee is responsible for recommending policy for the establishment of awards for the Association including the nature of the awards. The criteria for the awards and the award's selection process.

The awards steering committee consists of the chairman and six members on three year rotating terms. Additional subcommittee members may be appointed on a two year basis as needed for the review selection process. The executive secretary is an ex officio member of this committee.
First Call for Proposals — 1986

GENERAL INFORMATION

The 1986 annual meeting will be held in San Francisco from noon, March 28 to noon, March 31. (NARST will not meet on Easter morning, March 30.) This meeting will overlap with NSTA’s convention (March 26-29), as requested by some NARST members. NARST will hold its meeting in the new and luxurious Hotel Meridien located between Union Square and (across the street from) The Moscone Convention Center (home of NSTA’s meeting and exhibit facilities). Since this medium-size hotel will also accommodate some NSTA members and since NARST members will receive a rate reduction of about 46%, NARST attendees are encouraged to make reservations upon receipt of their hotel forms (November 1985, NARST newsletter). NARST cannot guarantee the availability of room accommodations, especially with the recent increased popularity of NARST annual meetings (1984 — 210 registrants, 1985 — 307 registrants).

NARST encourages the presentation of a wide variety of scholarly papers reporting investigations in all aspects of science education. Historical, philosophical, ethnographic, and evaluative studies as well as reports of empirical research and critical reviews are welcomed. Moreover, NARST’s 1986 program committee encourages scholarly presentations focusing on the translation of research studies into classroom practices.

An individual may present only one paper but may be listed as co-author of another paper and may also participate in a symposium or as a presider or discussant. For participants unfamiliar with the format and atmosphere at NARST meetings, presenters are strongly encouraged to stimulate discussion. Audiences at NARST meetings want to be active and not passive spectators. All presenters must be registered at the NARST meeting. Overhead projectors and screens will be provided at no charge.

If you have any questions, please contact James Barufaldi (515-471-7354), program chairperson, or William Holliday (403-274-7485), executive secretary.

NARST members and others who wish to make presentations at the meeting may submit proposals in any of the following formats:

1. CONTRIBUTED PAPER. Generally, brief 10 to 15-minute reports of research which are grouped by the program committee into three or four per session. Discussants are usually assigned to these sessions and presenters will provide discussants with a copy of the paper before the annual meeting, received not later than February 28, 1986.

2. PAPER SET. Several related papers originating from a common base of research are presented in one session. This format accommodates from three to five papers that may divide a single report which represents a major research effort. This format allows for common elements of design or approach to be presented only once rather than be repeated by each presenter.

3. SYMPOSIUM. A symposium should focus on a specific issue or topic of some importance and present a variety of perspectives. It should provide for interaction among participants with diverse points of view. Proposals should be submitted as a package, listing participants by name on the cover sheet. The summary should include a statement of each presentation, containing such information about the background and interests of each participant as may be relevant. Names on the proposals, however, should be omitted.

4. RESEARCH METHODS WORKSHOP. These sessions are designed to enable NARST members to acquire new research skills or update old ones. These one- or two-hour sessions are planned for intensive involvement by those in attendance, and presenters are expected to provide resources for study and discussion.

5. POSTER SESSION. Informal presentations that allow for discussion with the researcher. A display of graphic materials should be incorporated.
MATERIALS TO BE SUBMITTED

1. Two copies of completed Cover Sheet.

2. Six copies of a double-spaced summary, including bibliography, of not more than 6 pages in length. Omit names of author(s). The summary should ordinarily include:
   a. purpose of study;
   b. theoretical basis for study;
   c. procedure of study;
   d. results or conclusions of study;
   e. implications for teaching science.

3. Six copies of an abstract of 300-500 words to appear in ERIC Abstracts. Omit name(s) of author(s). Bibliographic references are not appropriate in the abstract.

4. Two 3" x 5" index cards containing typed name, address, and telephone number of author and title of paper.

The above items are to be sent to:

James Barufaldi
Science Education Center
The University of Texas at Austin
Austin, TX 78712


REVIEW OF PROPOSALS

Anonymous review of proposals will be done by two or more members of the program committee. Criteria will include items a-e above. Notification of the decision by the program committee will be made mailed by December 31, 1985.

ADVANCE PROGRAM

An Advance Program, and conference registration and hotel reservation forms, will be mailed to all NARST members in the late fall.
COVER SHEET

1986 NARST ANNUAL MEETING — HOTEL MERIDEN, SAN FRANCISCO

(Please type requested information)

1. TITLE OF PAPER OR SYMPOSIUM ____________________________________________________________

2. FIRST AUTHOR PRESENTING PAPER
Name ___________________________________________ Phone __________________________
Institution ____________________________________________
Address (including zip or postal code) ______________________________________________________

3. NAME AND INSTITUTIONAL ADDRESS OF CO-AUTHOR(S), AND, IF APPROPRIATE,
SUB-TITLES
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

4. SIGNATURE ________________________________________________________

5. SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR COMMENTS ____________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

PLEASE NOTE — If accepted, your session will appear in the program as you have listed it above. Have you included
the essential information above?

Thank you for your interest in improving science teaching — through research.