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Overview

There are both advantages and disadvantages to labeling students. The phenomenon known as the labeling effect refers to perceivers’ interpretation, evaluation, or judgment of different targets depending on the groups to which the individual targets belong (Jussim, Nelson, Manis, & Soffin, 1995). Past research has focused on how teachers’ perceptions change when a hypothetical student is labeled (Bianco, 2005; Jussim et al., 1995; Tripp & Rizzo, 2006; Sutherland, Algazine, Uyseldik, & Freeman, 1983). Labels that are derived through specific tests and meticulous criteria (i.e., diagnostic labels) are not the only labels that are present in schools. Social labels, or labels children give to one another, also exist in schools. Some of these labels have positive connotations (e.g., smart) and some of the labels have negative connotations (e.g., nerd). Although diagnostic and social labels are commonly used, little research has been conducted to investigate the relationship between labels and children’s perceptions of their peers. This current study was designed to the relationship between labels and students’ perceptions. Each participant Participants were asked to answer questions about a hypothetical peer who had one of four labels. The results highlight the variations in students’ perceptions depending on the particular label used.

Method

Participants included 146 3rd graders, 194 5th graders, and 86 8th graders from the Eau Claire School Districted. Participants received one of five descriptions of a hypothetical peer with a gender neutral name (Pat or Dakota). The hypothetical student was described as funny, nice, can keep a secret, and has a lot of friends in each condition. Four of the five conditions also described the student with one of the following labels: has a learning disability, has a really hard time learning, a learning disability have a protective factor in that students than do diagnostic labels than social labels. Further, participants believed hypothetical peers who have a really hard time learning would not be liked as much by teachers as would hypothetical peers without a label or with the other labels utilized in this study. It was also predicted that students would have more favorable perceptions of hypothetical peers who had labels with positive connotations such as gifted and talented and smart. This hypothesis was not supported. It appears as though social labels with negative connotations produce more negative reactions in students than do diagnostic labels that have negative connotations.

How much would you like to work with Dakota on a school project?
How much would you like to have Dakota come over and hang out at your house?
How much would you like it if Dakota decided to sit at your lunch table?
How much would you like it if Dakota helped you with your homework if you had a question about it?
What grade do you think Dakota gets most of the time?
What grade do you think Dakota gets most of the time?

Preliminary Results and Discussion

On the basis of past research, it was predicted that students would have less favorable perceptions of hypothetical peers who had labels with negative connotations such as learning disability and hard time learning. Students did seem to have more negative feelings toward a hypothetical peer with the label of hard time learning as displayed in Figures 1 – 3. Surprisingly, this was not typically the case for the hypothetical peer labeled as having a learning disability. Perhaps students who are labeled as having a learning disability have a protective factor in that peers are more likely to be taught tolerance for diagnostic labels than social labels.

Further, participants believed hypothetical peers who have a really hard time learning would not be liked as much by teachers as would hypothetical peers without a label or with the other labels utilized in this study. It was also predicted that students would have more favorable perceptions of hypothetical peers who had labels with positive connotations such as gifted and talented and smart. This hypothesis was not supported. It appears as though social labels with negative connotations produce more negative reactions in students than do diagnostic labels that have negative connotations.
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