Simulations of the effects of tin composition gradients on the superconducting properties of Nb$_3$Sn conductors
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In powder-in-tube Nb$_3$Sn composites, the A15 phase forms between a central tin-rich core and a coaxial Nb tube, thus causing the tin content and superconducting properties to vary with radius across the A15 layer. Since this geometry is also ideal for magnetic characterization of the superconducting properties with the field parallel to the tube axis, a system of concentric shells with varying tin content was used to simulate the superconducting properties, the overall severity of the Sn composition gradient being defined by an index N. Using well-known scaling relationships and property trends developed in an earlier experimental study, the critical current density for each shell was calculated, and from this the magnetic moment of each shell was found. By summing these moments, experimentally measured properties such as pinning-force curves and Kramer plots could be simulated. We found that different tin profiles have only a minor effect on the shape of Kramer plots, but a pronounced effect on the irreversibility fields defined by the extrapolation of Kramer plots. In fact, these extrapolated values $H_K$ are very close to a weighted average of the superconducting properties across the layer for all N. The difference between $H_K$ and the upper critical field commonly seen in experiments is a direct consequence of the different ways measurements probe the simulated Sn gradients. Sn gradients were found to be significantly deleterious to the critical current density $J_c$, since reductions to both the elementary pinning force and the flux pinning scaling field $H_K$ compound the reduction in $J_c$. The simulations show that significant gains in $J_c$ of Nb$_3$Sn strands might be realized by circumventing strong compositional gradients of tin. © 2004 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1763993]

I. INTRODUCTION

Superconductivity in the A15 phase of the Nb-Sn system exists over the whole composition range from ~18 to ~25 at.% Sn, with better properties being found as stoichiometry is approached. Since all Nb$_3$Sn conductors are made by a diffusion reaction, the influence of not being at thermodynamic equilibrium should be considered, though in fact it seldom is. In reality it is almost certain that no filamentary Nb$_3$Sn composite of any design has ever been made to attain an equilibrium, that is uniform composition, because the need to maintain a small A15 grain size of 150 nm or less limits both the time and temperature of reaction. The consequence of restricting reaction, then, is that there is always a composition gradient across the superconducting layer. Devantay et al. found that the critical temperature $T_c$ varies approximately linearly from about 6 to about 18 K. The variation of the upper critical field $H_{c2}$ with composition is less certain but also significant. Since most modern high-field Nb$_3$Sn conductors introduce alloying elements to raise the resistivity and suppress the martensitic transformation, this variation may also be approximately linear with tin content too. Many years ago it was realized that compositional variations across the A15 phase would affect the observed superconducting properties. However, neither the geometry of the largely bronze-route composites available in the 1980s, nor the analytical techniques of that time, made it feasible to survey in detail the actual composition gradients that were present across whole A15 layers.

Recently we returned to study this problem, incited by the availability of high quality powder-in-tube (PIT) Nb$_3$Sn composites made by Lindenhovius et al. We find these PIT conductors to be of high quality both because of the very uniform nature of their filament tubes and because of their high critical current density $J_c$. These PIT conductors have two other important benefits for study, one being that the A15 phase forms layers that are 5–10 μm thick, suitable for accurate electron-probe microanalysis. The second advantage is a consequence of their special architecture of PIT wires, namely that the A15 layer forms by reaction between a central tin-rich core and a coaxial Nb tube, as shown in Fig. 1. Thus the Sn content of the A15 layer is greater on the inside of the layer and superconductivity becomes weaker with radius outward from the core, making the system magnetically transparent in terms of superconducting shielding currents as a function of increasing field at given temperature. Magnetization measurements with the field coaxial to the strand are also relatively simple to interpret, because the superconducting A15 layer is a cylinder, which can be approximated by an array of coaxial tubes. Hawes et al. used this geometry to estimate the radial variation of tin content in a binary PIT strand series by inverting the temperature dependence of the
magnetic moment $m$, finding that the variation of $T_c$ with radius $r$ was consistent with the radial variation of the tin content determined by energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX) in a scanning electron microscope.

In subsequent work, Fischer et al.\cite{16,17} studied the development of flux pinning and high-field superconducting properties by magnetization measurements in a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) at fields up to 14 T, which thus allowed a large range of magnetic field-temperature ($H$-$T$) space to be studied. A puzzling early finding was a large difference between the irreversibility field $H^*$, determined by either Kramer function extrapolation ($H_K$) or magnetization loop closure, and the upper critical field $H_{c2}$ for all heat treatment times. The difference between $H_{c2}$ and $H_K$ was always substantial but did decrease with increasing reaction, suggesting substantial changes in the tin composition gradient with increasing reaction. A curious feature of the Kramer plots, even those for very short reaction times when concentration gradients are largest, was that they were linear all the way to zero bulk $J_c$ when measured at temperatures above $\sim 12$ K, at which the 14 T field of the VSM could completely suppress superconductivity in the wires.

This behavior is rather different from that of many bronze wires, which generally exhibit significantly curved Kramer plots. However, the normal architecture of bronze conductors places the highest Sn content at the outside of the filament, making the superconducting inhomogeneity magnetically invisible because the strongest shielding layer surrounds the weaker ones. While the changes in the critical state due to the superconducting inhomogeneity can be probed by flux-penetration techniques for either bronze or PIT conductors,\cite{15} standard measurements used to probe the $T_c(H)$, $H_{c2}(T)$, or $H^*(T)$ curves do not establish a full critical state and thus are greatly restricted when applied to bronze conductors. Bronze conductors also have thin A15 layers ($\sim 1-2 \mu m$) making accurate measurement of their Sn gradient difficult. The few such measurements\cite{5,6,8,10,11} are all consistent with strong Sn gradients, making the association of a curved Kramer plot with strong Sn gradients across the whole layer plausible. Thus we were initially motivated to believe that the linear Kramer plots observed with PIT composites\cite{16,19} resulted from rather flat Sn gradients. And in fact Hawes et al. showed that the Sn gradient in the PIT conductor was rather flat over most of the $\sim 5 \mu m$ radius of the A15 layer, ranging from about 1 at.% Sn $\mu m^{-1}$ at short reactions to as small as 0.4 at.% Sn $\mu m^{-1}$ at longer times, the Sn content falling steeply to 18 at.% only near the Nb$_3$Sn/Nb interface.\cite{14} Thus, while thermodynamics enforces the terminal compositions of the inner and outer radii of the A15 layer to be those in equilibrium with the Sn-rich phase and with Nb, respectively, it does not dictate the nature of the gradient, which could vary from one architecture to another.

Based on EDX analyses, magnetic $T_c$ analyses, and a volumetric specific heat $T_c$ analysis, Hawes et al. found that the Sn and $T_c$ gradients were both declining as the reaction proceeded. Fischer et al. and Godeke et al.\cite{20} subsequently extended this zero-field study to make careful studies of how different in-field measurement techniques average the range of superconducting properties generated by the tin composition profile. The reasonable assumption made by Fischer et al. was that extrapolating Kramer plots from low fields defines an irreversibility field $H_K$ that is characteristic of a weighted average, rather than the best regions, of the A15 layer carrying the current. $H_{c2}$ can also be determined in the VSM by observing the change in the slope of the reversible magnetization as superconductivity is destroyed. However, inhomogeneity in the tin composition smears this transition such that the experimentally observed discontinuity at $H_{c2}$ represents the best region of the A15 layer. The difference between these two measurements is not trivial—at optimum reaction for highest $J_c$ and $H_K$, the actual measurements at 12 K showed that $\mu_0 H_K$ was 9.7 T while $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ was 13.1 T. Similarly large differences between $H_{c2}$ and $H^*$ had been seen earlier by Suenaga et al.\cite{21} in bronze conductors, although in that study the difference between $H^*$ and $H_{c2}$ was attributed to vortex melting effects in what was otherwise implied to be a homogeneous conductor.

To address the general influence of radial superconducting property gradients, we decided to simulate PIT strands using a system of concentric shells to represent various tin concentration profiles, drawn schematically in Fig. 1. Current-density profiles associated with the input composition profiles were calculated based on literature values for $T_c$ and $H_{c2}$ and reasonable use of the Fietz and Webb scaling relations.\cite{22} The magnetic moment of each shell could then be obtained from the current density and shell geometry and, by summing the contribution from all shells, we could estimate quantities that are obtained in magnetization experiments with the field parallel to the strand axis.

The general conclusion we draw from these simulations is that $H^*$, as determined by $H_K$, reflects a weighted average, while $H_{c2}$ reflects the best portion of the filaments, thus validating the assumption of Fischer et al. above. The difference...
between $H_{c2}$ and $H_K$ indeed diminishes as the Sn concentration gradient flattens. Broadly speaking, these simulations suggest that the tin composition profile determines $H_K$, suggesting that $H_K$ and $H_{c2}$ become equal in the absence of composition gradients. We here neglect thermal fluctuation effects. A very striking conclusion from the simulations is that the bulk flux pinning force is correlated with the properties of the weakest shells, even very far from $H_K$ or $H_{c2}$. This conclusion demonstrates that significant improvement of the $J_c$ of Nb$_3$Sn will result from reducing or in the best of circumstances eliminating macroscopic tin composition gradients provided that the grain size can be maintained small at the same time.

II. SIMULATIONS

A series of tin concentration profiles was generated using a spreadsheet. It was assumed that the inner radius was next to the tin source, anchoring the composition of the A15 layer at Nb$_{0.75}$Sn$_{0.25}$ at this interface, while the outer radius at the Nb/A15 interface was anchored at Nb$_{0.82}$Sn$_{0.18}$. Between these end points, the tin content was allowed to vary according to the expression

$$\% \text{Sn}(r) = 18 + 3.5 \left[ 1 - r^N + (1 - r)^{1/N} \right].$$

(1)

This particular function was chosen to explore a wide range of tin profiles in terms of a single index $N$, which indicates the severity and steepness of the overall gradient. As mentioned earlier, the electron microscopy observations and dc magnetization vs temperature curves for reacted PIT strands suggest a moderate decrease of the tin content over most of the radius, with a somewhat abrupt falloff near the A15/Nb interface. Similar profiles were inferred for bronze composites from backscattered electron intensity. Moderate values of $N$ in the function in Eq. (1) produce profiles like those seen experimentally by electron microscopy, while the expression also allows us to explore much wider variations typical of many different types of Nb$_3$Sn conductor. These range from the physically unlikely linear variation of tin composition for $N=1$ to the flatter profiles with an abrupt falloff near the Nb$_3$Sn/Nb interface which seem to fit the experimental data for $N \rightarrow \infty$. A plot of the assumed tin composition profiles is shown in Fig. 2.

The critical temperature at each shell was mapped according to each profile, assuming the linear dependence found by Devantay et al.:\(^1\)

$$T_{c,sim}(r) = 6 + 12 \left( \% \text{Sn}(r) - 18 \right) / 7 \text{ K.}$$

(2)

These $T_{c,sim}$ profiles were used to generate profiles of upper critical field values $H_{c2,sim}(T,r)$ at $T=0$ K,

$$\mu_0H_{c2,sim}(0,r) = 0.69(2.4)T_{c,sim}(r) \text{ T.}$$

(3)

Equation (3) is the usual dirty-limit expression $H_{c2}(0) = 0.69T_c(\Delta H_{c2}/dT)_T$ assuming the slope $\mu_0\Delta H_{c2}/dT$ at $T_c$ is $-2.4$ T/K. The particular value of the slope of $H_{c2}$ at $T_c$, being a bit higher than values in the literature,\(^{24,25}\) was chosen here to make $H_{c2,sim}(0,0)$ close to 30 T, as suggested by recently observed values for unalloyed Nb$_3$Sn prepared by hot isostatic pressing.\(^3\) It should be noted that the variation of $H_{c2}$ with composition is not as well known as that of $T_c$.

Finally, a temperature dependent factor of $1 - (T/T_{c,sim})^{1.5}$ was multiplied by $H_{c2,sim}(0,r)$ to get $H_{c2,sim}(T,r)$. This fit is an excellent approximation of the more complicated Maki–de Gennes–Werthamer dirty-limit expression for $H_{c2}(T)$.\(^{26,27}\) In contrast to experiments, in which $T_c$ and $H_{c2}$ are single values determined by measurement, in the simulations the critical temperature and the upper critical field are local values; a hypothetical resistive or magnetization measurement would always produce experimental $T_c$ and $\mu_0H_{c2}$ value that correspond to the maximum $T_{c,sim}$ value for all shells, i.e., 18 K and close to 30 T at 0 K for the shell next to the powder core.

As mentioned earlier, an interesting feature of the $J_c$ data on PIT composites is the observation of field and temperature scaling for a wide range of heat treatment conditions. Plots of $J_c^2H^{1/4}$ vs field $H$ (Kramer plots) are linear for almost all heat treatment conditions and measurement temperatures.\(^28\) This permits the flux pinning force for each shell to be written

$$F_{p,sim}(H,T,r) = 3.5 F_{max,sim}(T,r)(H/H_{c2,sim})^{1/2} \times (1 - H/H_{c2,sim})^2,$$

(4)

where the factor 3.5 normalizes the field dependence. Further, analyses of the temperature dependence of the bulk pinning force showed that the temperature scaling could be expressed as $F_{max}(T) = 0.1[\mu_0H_K(T)]^2$, as shown in Fig. 3. Therefore, the same temperature scaling for the bulk pinning force in each shell was assumed, namely:

$$F_{max,sim}(T,r) = 0.1[\mu_0H_{c2,sim}(T,r)]^2 \text{ GN/m}^3,$$

(5)

with $\mu_0H_{c2,sim}$ in tesla. To obtain the critical current density for each shell, the definition of the Lorentz force was inverted,
$J_{c,sim}(H,T,r) = F_{p,sim}(H,T,r)/\mu_0 H A/m^2$.  

At this point, it is important to distinguish that, while experimental data commonly exhibit scaling of the pinning force with $H^*$ or $H_K$, there is no a priori way to determine the scaling field from $H_{c2}$ in the absence of thermal fluctuations of the vortices. Moreover, flux-pinning models suggest that the superconducting condensation energy, vortex density, and flux-lattice behavior should determine the pinning force, which are all tied to $H_{c2}$. Thus, Eqs. (4) and (5) should be appropriate for simulating the local properties of each shell. This point will be discussed again later.

To get reasonable estimates for experimental quantities, the simulations were carried out by mapping the coordinate $r$ to a radius $R=R_{min}+5r$ [μm]. This corresponds to a tin gradient that varies between a powder core located inside $R_{min}=10$ μm and a Nb₃Sn/Nb interface located at $R_{max}=15$ μm. These choices are typical radii observed by scanning electron microscopy (e.g., Fig. 1). The magnetic moment $m_{sim}(H,T,R)$ for each shell was calculated from the critical current density using the definition of a magnetic moment,

$m_{sim}(H,T,R) = \pi R^2 I_{c,sim}(H,T,R)$

where $I_{c,sim}(H,T,R)$ is the current flowing around each shell, $L$ is the sample length, and $t=(R_{max}-R_{min})/100$ is the thickness of each of the 100 shells. The total moment for all shells $m(H,T)$ was then obtained by summing the contributions from each shell.

Since the total magnetic moment is the physical property experimentally measured by magnetometry, the simulated profiles could be directly identified with experimental quantities. In particular, an estimate for the experimental critical current density $J_c$ could be obtained by applying the critical state model to the total moment and the input sample dimensions,

$J_c(H,T) = 3^m(H,T)/[\pi L(R_{max}^3 - R_{min}^3)] A/m^2$.  

This expression duplicates that used by Fischer et al. to determine the critical current density from VSM measurements with the field parallel to the strand axis. Estimates for other experimental quantities, such as the bulk pinning force $F_p = \mu_0 H J_c$ and the Kramer function $F_K = J_c^{2/3}(\mu_0 H)G/4$ were then derived.

Figure 4 shows the simulated moment as a function of radius derived at 4.2 K, 12 T [plot (a)] and at 12 K and 5 T (b). The indices for the curves are the same as for Fig. 2. Note that the coordinate $r$ has been mapped to a radius $R$ to simulate actual composites.
Note that there is no contribution to $m$ from many outer shells, even for very high $N$, when the chosen field and temperature exceed $T_{c\text{-}\text{sim}}$ and $H_{c2\text{-}\text{sim}}$. This is especially prominent at 12 K, where the outer sections of the layer are not even superconducting in zero field. The quantitative importance of the gradient to the moment accessible by experiments is very clear: If the tin concentration profile were indeed just a linear function of the thickness of the layer $s_N = d$, then the superconducting moment at 12 K would be small indeed due to the loss of many shells with $m_{\text{sim}} = 0$.

Figures 5–7 present the experimental equivalent of $J_c(H)$, $F_p(H)$, and $F_K(H)$ for the same set of index values, with curves derived for 4.2 K and 12 K plotted in each figure. Consistent with Fig. 4, there is an evident loss of critical current density and bulk pinning force with decreasing $N$. The simulations also produce a shift of the peak of $F_p(H)$, and also in the field at which $F_p(H)$ goes to zero, towards higher fields as $N$ increases. After examining many such $m_{\text{sim}}(H,T,R)$ curves, we find that the curvature in the Kramer plots first appears for simulated fields that exceed $H_{c2\text{-}\text{sim}}(T,R_{\text{max}})$; that is, when the outermost shell is no longer superconducting. This is always true at 12 K, since $T_{c\text{-}\text{sim}}$ at $R_{\text{max}}$ is only 6 K for Nb18% Sn.

III. DISCUSSION

A. Effect of tin gradients on the apparent irreversibility field

An important result of Fischer et al. was the finding of strong changes in, and large differences between, the values of $H_{c2}$ and $H_K$ as a function of heat treatment time. The difference between $\mu_0H_{c2}$ and $\mu_0H_K$ at 12 K ranged from ~5 T at short times to ~3 T at long times, and both values increased with heat treatment time until saturating when the reaction was complete. It was argued that these differences were direct consequences of the different ways in which $H_K$ and $H_{c2}$ are measured and the tin composition profile, which was steep (low $N$) during the early stages of reaction and flattened (high $N$) as the reaction proceeded. The upper critical field was thought to represent the best (most tin-rich) regions of the A15 layer because $H_{c2}$ was defined by the return of the magnetization to the normal-state paramagnetic background at very high field, which occurs when the best portions of the A15 layer lose superconductivity. This was later found to be comparable to resistive measurements, which sample the best electrical pathway. By contrast, $H_K$, being derived from the full width of the hysteresis loop, was determined by the critical state across the entire A15 layer at lower fields, thus providing a weighted average of the superconducting properties across the layer. Since most Kramer plots obtained by Fischer et al. were highly linear, even close to the field axis, it was further assumed both that $H_K$ was
well determined, and that the tin concentration profile was often rather flat except near the A15/Nb interface (equivalent to high $N$). However, it was not clear why this should be, especially for short heat treatment times. It is important to note that the measurement geometry used by Fischer et al. was such that currents enclose the powder core for $H < H_K$ and thus make large contributions to the measured magnetization, while for $H_K < H < H_{c2}$ hysteretic current loops might still be present, but their contribution to the overall magnetization, which includes reversible components, would hardly be detected. This makes confusion between these values in their experiment very unlikely.

The Kramer plots derived from the simulation follow straight lines when all of the shells are superconducting. In many cases, especially when $N$ is high and $T$ is low, linear plots persist almost down to the field axis. Even when some shells are not superconducting because $H > H_{c2}(T, r)$, as is often the case at high temperature, the curvature for large $N$ is not striking. On the other hand, the linear portions of the Kramer plots extrapolate to $H_K$ values less than the maximum value of $H_{c2,\text{sim}}(T)$ (being that for the inner shell with 25% Sn), even for high index values. This maximum value is clearly indicated on each plot by the curve labeled “ideal,” since the ideal profile has no variation in tin composition and, by definition, produces $H_K = H_{c2}$ at all temperatures. Thus, the primary influence of the different composition profiles is to alter the Kramer plot extrapolation, and not to change greatly the Kramer plot shape itself. Moreover, the slopes of the linear portion of the Kramer plots at 12 K fall off with decreasing $N$, whereas they remain nearly constant at 4.2 K. This behavior is the same as that seen in the experimental curves of Fischer et al. The slope change is another effect of the loss of superconductivity in the outer shells far away from the tin source.

To test these predictions of Fischer et al., more explicitly, the $H_K$ values derived from the simulation are compared in Table I with the average of the $\mu_0 H_{c2,\text{sim}}(r)$ values across the simulated tin profiles at 4.2 and 12 K. The averaging is weighted so that only superconducting shells are considered; shells for which $T > T_{c,\text{sim}}(r)$ do not figure into the mean. Note that there is very good agreement between $H_K$ and the weighted mean for all $N$ at 4.2 K. This is true even though the minimum value of $H_{c2,\text{sim}}(r)$ is only about 5 T at 4.2 K for $N = 1$, due to the low critical temperature of the tin-poor outer shells. At 12 K, the agreement is still reasonably good, and becomes better for increasing $N$. Thus, these simulations show that extrapolations of Kramer plots from low fields give good estimates of the average upper critical field across the active A15 layer, in agreement with the assertion by Fischer et al.

In addition, at 4.2 K the difference between $\mu_0 H_{c2} = \mu_0 H_K$ for the ideal profiles) and $\mu_0 H_K$ is $\sim 10$ T for $N = 1$, and then rapidly drops to 3 to 4 T for intermediate $N$ and is only 1 to 2 T for $N = 10$. At 12 K, the separation is $\sim 6$ T for $N = 1$ and likewise falls to $\sim 1$ T for $N = 15$. This trend supports the conjecture by Fischer et al. that the tin composition profile flattens with increasing heat treatment time, which decreases the separation between $H_{c2}$ and $H_K$. This conclusion was also reached by Hawes et al., as we discuss in more detail later. Since the terminal separation of $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ and $\mu_0 H_K$ found by Fischer et al. was $\sim 3$ T at 12 K, the data in Table I suggest that a profile index of between 5 and 10 might apply to their optimum wires.

It is important to note that we did not simulate any effects of thermal fluctuations—the differences between $H_{c2}$ and $H_K$ are entirely due to the variation of $H_{c2,\text{sim}}(T, r)$ related to the simulated tin composition profile. Keeping in mind that different experiments probe local properties in different ways, this result suggests that the experimental differ-
ence between H₂ and Hₖ for real wires is mostly due to the effects of tin composition inhomogeneity. This implies that the H*ₖ(T) line is not broadly determined by vortex-matter properties in Nb₃Sn, as it is for high-temperature superconductors.

### B. Effects on flux pinning and the critical current density

The tin composition profile can influence the global flux-pinning force Fₚ by changing the magnitude of the local elementary pinning interaction and by shifting the scaling field for the bulk pinning-force curve. The former effect is expressed in Eq. (5), where the temperature dependence of the pinning force, derived from H₂⁻⁻sim(T), reflects the condensation energy difference for a pinning interaction or the vortex line tension. The latter effect reflects flux density-dependent changes in summation and is expressed in Eq. (4). Because these independent sources are compounded, the tin composition inhomogeneity actually has a significantly stronger effect on the flux pinning magnitude than on the critical field magnitudes, even though Jₑ is generally evaluated far from H₂. To illustrate this point, the maximum value of Fₚ is summarized in Table II at 4.2 and 12 K. At 4.2 K, the maximum values are reduced from that of the ideal curve by ~28% for N=5 and ~8% for N=20 at 4.2 K. The corresponding decreases of H₂ are ~13% and ~4% for these respective indices in Table I, so the variations of the maximum value of Fₚ are consistent with Eq. (5). However, since Hₖ is also lower for smaller N, the value of Fₚ at fixed field suffers an additional loss. At 12 T, for example, Fₚ and Jₑ for N=5 are ~40% less than their corresponding values for the ideal curve. The 12% additional subtraction is due to the shift in the scaling function [Eq. (4)] of each of the simulated shells because of the corresponding lower H₂⁻⁻sim(r) values.

It should be noted that, although flux-pinning theories express scaling functions in terms of H₂, it is often useful to choose Hₖ or H* as the scaling field in experiments. While this choice might seem to be the more rational one, properties of the flux-line lattice, from which various scaling relations are derived, can be traced back directly to the Ginzburg-Landau theory, suggesting H₂ is the proper choice from a theoretical point of view. In the present simulation, these contradictory views are bridged because the local scaling function for each current shell, Fₚ⁻⁻sim(H,T,r), is tied to the corresponding local value of H₂⁻⁻sim(T,r), whereas the global scaling curves Fₚ(H,T) that emerge from the simulation (Fig. 6) appear to scale with H/Hₖ. This is an additional averaging effect of the current shells, where, as discussed above, Hₖ represents the weighted mean of H₂⁻⁻sim(r) values. Hence, the averaging effect is why the irreversibility field is the appropriate scaling field for flux pinning.

Because these simulations directly implicate the gradient of Sn in determining Jₑ, it is interesting to speculate how flattening the tin composition profile (while retaining similar grain size and grain-boundary density) could lead to gains in the performance of Nb₃Sn wires at 4.2 K and 12 T. Fischer et al. found that the highest critical current density of the A15 layer approached 3500 and 4300 A/mm² at 12 T, 4.2 K for a binary Nb₃Sn and a ternary (Nb, Ta)₃Sn PIT wire, respectively. When normalized to the entire tubular filament area, including the spent powder core and any unreacted Nb, these current densities are 1460 and 1780 A/mm², respectively. Curves showing these magnetization measurements are shown in the inset of Fig. 5(a). In addition, transport measurements on identical strands have been recently reported, which are also indicated by the large diamonds in the inset of Fig. 5(a). Since the simulation does not consider unreacted Nb but does include the core, the value of Jₑ overestimates the noncopper experimental values somewhat. Nonetheless, this graphical comparison and the 12 T Jₑ values given in Table II suggest that the gradient index is perhaps 5 to 8, about the same range as that concluded from the critical field analyses discussed earlier. It should be kept in mind that the simulated values of μₜ H₂ and μₜ Hₖ (26.5 and >23 T) are close to the estimates of μₜ Hₖ(4.2 K) ~23 T for the binary composite and ~25 T for the ternary composite by Fischer et al. While more experiments are needed to verify the actual values of H₂ and Hₖ at 4.2 K, this analysis suggests that modern PIT strands are operating at perhaps only 60% of the maximum Jₑ attainable.

### C. Features of real tin composition profiles

More experimental focus has been given to electromagnetic characterization than to microchemical characterization of PIT strands, and particular information about the tin profiles in present state-of-the-art strands is lacking. Hawes et al. conducted EDX analyses at various positions across the A15 layer for several strands from an earlier PIT conductor. The microprobe analyses showed that the composition fell off approximately linearly, from ~25% Sn near the powder core to ~22% near the A15/Nb interface. The final 4% drop to the tin-poor boundary of the A15 phase range could not be resolved by the instrument, suggesting that the moderate slope falls off more abruptly at the A15/Nb interface.

We simulated this profile by multiplying a factor of (1 − cr) to Eq. (1) to approximate the observed linear profile, with c = 0.5 and N = 20. The simulated profile, shown in Fig. 8(a) together with the data of Hawes et al., is somewhat more severe than those suggested by the studies of Fischer et al.
al. discussed earlier. Although the linear drop-off of tin content over much of the profile has a lower slope than the simulated profiles with $N < 5$ discussed earlier, the reduction of $J_c$ at 4.2 K shown in Fig. 8(b) is quite significant. At 12 T, $J_c$ reaches only 1600 A/mm$^2$, or about 39% of the ideal, no-gradient value of 4090 A/mm$^2$. Note also that the simulated $J_c(H)$ curve represents the published data$^{13}$ for this generation of PIT strand well. The halving of the bulk pinning force is also evident in Fig. 8(c). However, the shape of the bulk pinning-force curve is almost identical to that of the ideal curve. Since the peak of the $F_p(H)/H_{K_{c/2}}$ scaling function lies at $H/H_K = 0.2$, not only is the curvature consistent with the expected pinning function but the peak is also close to the expected position. A slight tail in $F_p(H)$ can be seen above the irreversibility field at $\sim 20$ T, which is a common observation for real composites. The Kramer curve in Fig. 8(d) is almost perfectly linear below $\sim 14$ T, the limit of many modern magnetometers or transport $J_c$ evaluations, but above this field $F_K(H)$ curves more strongly, resulting in a large difference between $\mu_0 H_K$ (20.5 T) and $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ (26.5 T).

Taken together, all of these standard evaluations of flux pinning, if made in the laboratory at 4.2 K and below $\sim 15$ T, would suggest that this simulated Nb$_3$Sn example is from a strand of high quality. It is rather amazing that this occurs, even though the simulated results are, in fact, far from the ideal curves. Apparently, the strong contribution from magnetization currents flowing in the few stronger shells is very efficient at masking the deleterious contributions from the many weaker shells when all of the shells are averaged. This may be an artifact of the tubular geometry used in the simulation, and might not hold as strongly for the solid cylinders of most bronze or internal Sn conductors, perhaps resulting in more noticeable shifts in the $F_p(H)$ function and deviations from flux-pinning theories.

The present simulations consider only radial tin gradients, whereas real composition profiles might contain circumferential and longitudinal gradients as well. These might arise due to disconnection of the powder core from the Nb tube, due to the formation of other intermetallic phases, or due to the preferential diffusion of tin along grain boundaries. In fact, one feature of experimental data that could not be reproduced by the simulation was the linearity of Kramer plots all the way down to the field axis at 12 K. We speculate that the cause may be due to circumferential or longitudinal Sn gradients in real wires. If the magnetization current is completely restricted to flow in concentric shells, circumferential variations in the tin composition would obstruct current and effectively switch off a given shell at a lower field than represented by its average composition. This would tend
to amplify any effects of the radial compositional gradient. However, if the magnetization current is allowed to transfer between shells or migrate longitudinally, then the effect of circumferential obstructions would be blunted. Moreover, some shells might actually persist in carrying current at fields above that representative of their average composition. In the limit that all current shells have some circumferential variations, the effective network of current pathways could well remove the curvature from real Kramer plots altogether for sufficiently high gradient indices.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The variation of the tin composition in powder-in-tube Nb₃Sn composites was modeled by analyzing a system of concentric shells. Different profiles of the local tin content were mapped, between the boundaries of Nb25%Sn at the A15/tin core interface and Nb18%Sn at the A15/Nb interface. The profile severity ranged from constant gradients (N=1) to relatively flat plates with a steep gradient near the A15/Nb interface (large N).

By summing the calculated magnetic moment for each shell, simulations which yield experimentally accessible quantities were estimated. These simulations reproduced the experimental trends rather well, under the experimentally observed assumption that increasing heat treatment time for PIT strands enhances the value of the gradient index N. The experimental linear Kramer functions were reproduced well by the simulations for larger gradient indices. Extrapolations of the Kramer plots gave irreversibility fields H_K that were very close to the weighted average of local upper critical field values corresponding to the input tin composition profiles. This suggests that Kramer plot extrapolations effectively average the superconducting properties across the A15 layer. An important result of the simulations is that this averaging property is passed on to the flux-pinning behavior, which explains why the global scaling field is H_K even though flux pinning behavior on the elementary level is tied to the upper critical field H_{c2}. From analyses of Sn gradient-free, ideal profiles, for which Kramer plots extrapolate to H_{c2}, it was concluded that the difference between H_{c2} and H_K can be entirely attributed to the tin composition profile. The deleterious properties of severe tin gradients are compounded in quantities related to flux pinning, because both the elementary pinning force and the scaling field for summation are reduced. Thus, while H_K is generally only 80% of H_{c2} in modern Nb₃Sn composites, the critical current density at technologically important fields then reaches only ~60% of the available limit imposed by flux pinning for the stoichiometric A15 composition.
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