THE LONG CHAIN FROM KRAMERS'S POLYMER WORK

I thoroughly enjoyed reading the informative article (September 1988, page 26) by Max Dresden on Hendrik A. Kramers's contributions to statistical mechanics. This letter is intended as a "friendly amendment" to call attention to Kramers's seminal article in 1944 on the statistical mechanics of flowing macromolecular solutions.\text{! This paper was published in Dutch, because during the German occupation publication in English was not allowed; after the war the Journal of Chemical Physics published the complete English translation by Jan J. Hermans and Kramers.

This article showed a grasp of macromolecular kinetic theory that was far more mature and inventive than that of the contemporary polymer scientists. Kramers wrote only one paper in this area, but it is astonishing how much he accomplished. He began by cleverly recognizing that the very difficult nonequilibrium problem of getting the phasespace distribution function for a macromolecular solution in a timeindependent, potential flow can be made equivalent to a tractable problem in equilibrium statistical mechanics. Since he modeled the polymer as a freely jointed bead-rod chain (or "pearl necklace"), he used generalized coordinates and momenta to account for the constraints of constant rod lengths. He then established the kinetic theory expression for the stress tensor as an integral in the chain phase space. Finally he obtained an expression for the zeroshear-rate intrinsic viscosity.

In this development a matrix $g_{\mu\nu}$ plays a crucial role; this matrix is, aside from a constant factor, the reciprocal of the "Rouse matrix," which appeared nearly a decade later and is familiar to all polymer chemists. The Kramers derivation shows quite clearly that the freely jointed bead-rod model for finite chains does not obey the random-walk distribution, a point that surprises many polymer chemists even today.

Kramers then went on to show how to extend his theory to freely rotating chains and to branched polymers. He also proved that a flexible ringlike polymer should have a viscosity equal to one-half that of a chainlike polymer with the same contour length. In addition, he considered the flow birefringence of the same system. Finally, he suggested how to extend his work to describe the finite-shear-rate rheological properties; this part of the paper was the starting point for a whole series of papers on polymer kinetic theory by John G. Kirkwood and his collaborators2 during the late 1940s and early 1950s. These papers, in turn, provided considerable information and inspiration for three books dealing with polymer dynamics and rheology.3,4 In the third of these books4 there are many direct references to Kramers (see both the author and subject indexes) that attest to his continuing influence in the statistical mechanics of macromolecules and to the many ways his work could be applied and extended.

Specifically, the Kramers paper provided the impetus for a further development of the phase-space kinetic theory of polymeric fluids (both dilute solutions and melts) under the leadership of Charles F. Curtiss.5 It also provided the starting point for interesting papers by Eugene Helfand and Nicolaas van Kampen⁶ dealing with the freezing-out of vibrational degrees of freedom and the deviations from the random-walk distribution. Marshall Fixman⁷ and Ole Hassager⁸ also contributed substantially to the understanding of polymer solutions by developing the Kramers theory further. (For additional literature citations see reference 4.)

Because Kramers wrote only one paper on polymer solutions, the enormous contribution of this publication has not received the attention it deserves; specifically, Paul Flory, in his book on the statistical mechanics of chain molecules,⁹ made no mention of the Kramers paper.

In a mere 18 pages Kramers set forth key ideas on polymer kinetic theory that have had lasting influence. This paper was well ahead of its



BNC pulse generators offer shaping, rate, and amplitude features rarely found elsewhere. Find out the whole story by requesting your free copy of BNC's latest catalog. NIM Power Supplies also included.



Berkeley Nucleonics Corp.

1121 Regatta Square Richmond, CA 94804 Telephone (415) 234-1100

Circle number 13 on Reader Service Card

time, in both physical understanding and mathematical sophistication, and still merits careful study by polymer scientists.

Thanks are due John D. Ferry, Walter H. Stockmayer, Bruno Zimm, Jan Hermans and Nico van Kampen for suggesting improvements to the original manuscript of this letter.

References

- H. A. Kramers, Physica (Utrecht) 11, 1 (1944); English translation in J. Chem. Phys. 14, 415 (1946).
- J. G. Kirkwood, Macromolecules, Gordon & Breach, New York (1967).
- H. Yamakawa, Modern Theory of Polymer Solutions, Harper and Row, New York (1971).
 M. Doi, S. F. Edwards, Theory of Polymer Dynamics, Oxford U. P., Oxford (1986).
- R. B. Bird, C. F. Curtiss, R. C. Armstrong, O. Hassager, Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids, Volume 2: Kinetic Theory, 2nd ed., Wiley-Interscience, New York (1987).
- C. F. Curtiss, R. B. Bird, O. Hassager, Adv. Chem. Phys. 35, 31 (1976).
 C. F. Curtiss, R. B. Bird, J. Chem. Phys. 74, 2016, 2026 (1981).
- N. van Kampen, Appl. Sci. Res. 37, 67 (1981).
 E. Helfand, J. Chem. Phys. 71, 5000 (1979).
- M. Fixman, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71, 3050 (1974).
 M. Fixman, J. Kovac, J. Chem. Phys. 61, 4939, 4950 (1974).
- O. Hassager, J. Chem. Phys. 60, 2111, 4001 (1974).
- P. J. Flory, Statistical Mechanics of Chain Molecules, Wiley-Interscience, New York (1969).

11/88

R. Byron Bird University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin

In a recent article Max Dresden discussed the importance of Hendrik Kramers's contributions to statistical mechanics, even though he wrote only nine articles in this area. In view of this small number of publications, it seems worthwhile to add a few words concerning Kramers's only contribution to polymer statistics. This was a relatively small article, but one that exhibited important characteristics of Kramers's style.

In 1946, Kramers published an article¹ in which he proved that the intrinsic viscosity of a flexible chain molecule follows the Staudinger rule, $[\eta] = KM$. That is, the viscosity is proportional to the molecular weight M of the polymer molecule. This rule played a historic role in the recognition of the existence of large-molecular-weight molecules.

The statistical mechanics of a chain polymer poses the difficulty that one must deal with the connectivity of the segments that are its constituents. Kramers tried an exact treatment of this difficult problem in which he expressed the polymer configuration in a Riemannian space. His was probably the first article in which Riemannian geometry was used in statistical mechanics. A few years later, John G. Kirkwood developed a tensor approach to the same viscosity problem. These appear to be the only two articles in which Riemannian geometry was used for polymer statistics.

I am told that Kramers gave a talk at Cornell University on the subject around 1946. As soon as his talk was over, Peter Debye stood up and remarked that he too had proved the Staudinger rule, in a different but simple way. Indeed, their articles appeared in the same issue of the same iournal.

The viscosity rule triggered the development of polymer science, and eventually earned Hermann Staudinger a Nobel Prize. Paul Flory's excluded-volume concept, for which he received a Nobel Prize, also originated from intrinsic viscosity.

Reference

9/88

 H. A. Kramers, J. Chem. Phys. 14, 415 (1946).

AKIRA ISIHARA State University of New York at Buffalo

I read with great interest Max Dresden's article on Hendrik Kramers's contribution to statistical mechanics. However, there is one rather serious

oversight. When discussing Kramers's work on the Ising model, Dresden writes: "After World War II...he never worked on the Ising model again. . . . He did not ... contribute to the developments that followed [Lars] Onsager's work." However, in the list of theses completed under Kramers's supervision one finds a 1950 thesis on "Order-Disorder in Hexagonal Lattices" by R. M. F. Houtappel. The main results were published in Physica.1 On reading this paper one comes across yet another example of Kramers's facility in producing elegant mathematical methods: The method used is a simplification of Bruria Kaufman's method, which itself was already an improvement of Onsager's original method. clearly proves the inaccuracy of Dresden's statement.

Reference

 R. M. F. Houtappel, Physica (Utrecht) 16, 425 (1950).

D. TER HAAR

11/88 Petworth, West Sussex, England continued on page 151

AMERICAN MAGNETICS, INC.



Excellence In Superconducting Magnets

Cryogenic Instruments And Accessories

- * Superconducting Magnets
- * Dewars
- * Power Supplies
- * Energy Absorbers
- * Power Supply Programmers
 - Manual
- falo IEEE-488
 - * Helium Level Meters
 - * Helium Level Sensors
 - + Nitrogen Level Meters
 - ★ Nitrogen Level Meters
 ★ Nitrogen Level Sensors
 - * Magnet Support Stands
 - * Vapor Cooled Current Leads
 - * Complete Magnet Systems

AMI has been designing and manufacturing superconducting magnets and cryogenic instrumentation for over 20 years. Our focus is on quality, innovation, excellence, service and customer satisfaction. The professional staff at AMI will assist you in designing and building a custom integrated system. Our commitment to excellence has made AMI the leading U.S. manufacturer of laboratory superconducting magnet systems.

Call or Write:

AMERICAN MAGNETICS, INC. P.O. Box 2509

Oak Ridge, TN 37831-2509 Telephone (615) 482-1056

Facsimile (615) 482-5472 Telex 557 592 Circle number 15 on Reader Service Card continued from page 15

Dresden replies: Both Akira Isihara and R. Byron Bird observe that although Hendrik Kramers wrote only one paper on polymer statistics, that paper had an enormous and lasting influence. This observation is in complete harmony with the ideas expressed in my paper: Kramers's contributions to statistical mechanics, few as they are, are gems full of technical mathematical innovations, combined in a most original way with deep physical insight.

I am most thankful to Isihara and Bird for calling attention to yet another one of Kramers's seminal contributions, which like many others has not always received the recognition it deserves. This particular paper was not mentioned in the original article for lack of space, so it is gratifying that these letters give an idea of Kramers's contribution in this area, especially his unusual and perhaps unexpected use of Riemannian

geometry.

The thesis by R. M. F. Houtappel to which D. ter Haar calls attention was clearly strongly influenced by Kramers. The elegant mathematics, the ingenious way in which explicit group theory is avoided in a clever adaptation of Bruria Kaufman's method-these are as characteristic of Kramers as his signature. Thus Kramers was certainly aware of and explicitly conversant with the developments in the Ising model that followed the celebrated Kramers-Wannier paper. In that sense my statement that Kramers never worked on the Ising model after World War II is too strong. He clearly staved informed and thought about it. Still, I believe that the general idea I expressed is probably correct. Comparing Kramers's intense, deep preoccupation with the Ising model during the war years with his subsequent more casual involvement, almost by proxy, indicates to me that his own personal involvement declined sharply if not precipitously. Of course Kramers, even if only casually interested, could make contributions of such depth and brilliance that any totally committed investigator would have been pleased and proud to have made them.

I believe that all the correspondents and I agree that Kramers was an unsurpassed master in using and inventing mathematical procedures that were miraculously suited to the elucidation of physical problems in statistical mechanics.

MAX DRESDEN
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford, California

Profiles in Publishing Productivity

We recently completed a study of publishing patterns of PhD physicists trained and employed in the United States. We were particularly interested in the relationship between publishing activity and age. Because the average age of physicists, and scientists in general, has increased dramatically in the past 10-15 years. a concern of US science policy makers is whether this older group is as productive as a younger group was a decade or two earlier. Given the inherent difficulty of measuring research productivity, and given that there is some evidence that publishing is a reasonable measure of productivity,2 our study focused on the relationship between publishing activity and age. Specifically, we counted the number of journal articles authored in a two-year period. Adjustments were also made to this count for the number of coauthors as well as for the quality of the journal in which each article was published, where quality was measured by the impact the journal has on the science literature as reflected by citation practices. Physicists in the 1973, 1975, 1977 and 1979 Survey of Doctorate Recipients. administered biennially by the National Research Council, were included in the study.4 Information on their publishing patterns was taken from the Science Citation Index with the cooperation of the Institute for Scientific Information.

Past work by Stephen Cole⁵ and by Alan E. Bayer and Jeffrey E. Dutton⁶ on age-publishing profiles of physicists suggests that article production increases until early middle age and declines thereafter. Cole's sample was restricted to physicists employed in doctorate-granting departments in the late 1960s, while Bayer and Dutton's sample consisted of physicists employed at colleges and universities during the 1972-73 academic year. A strength of the SDR data base used in our study is that it is drawn from a later period and includes scientists in five employment sectors: graduate academic (universities offering a PhD in physics), nongraduate academic, Federally funded research and development centers, government, and business and industry.

Our results for physicists in academic employment are somewhat different from those of Cole or Bayer and Dutton. In particular, when we grouped our sample by five-year age intervals, we found that for physicists in graduate departments the productivity of the 35–39-year-old group is



10 watts linear, 10kHz to 250MHz.

The new Model 10A250 benchtop broadband amplifier gives you the freedom you've wished for in your rf testing.

Its great phase response is ideal for pulsed and non-sinusoidal waveforms. Ultrasound. NMR. Plasma physics. Interference susceptibility testing. All-around f lab work.

Latest FET technology gives you instantly available bandwidth from 10kHz to 250MHz. And total immunity to load mismatch—even from open or shorted output terminals—means freedom from worry about foldback, oscillation, and blown output transistors.

You've always known our power ratings are ultra-conservative. The 10-watt nameplate on this one assures you 10 watts linear throughout the bandwidth, and even more when saturated. The Model 10A250 belongs in your lab.



160 School House Road Souderton, PA 18964-9990 USA Phone 215-723-8181 TWX 510-661-6094 Circle number 135 on Reader Service Card Copyright of Physics Today is the property of American Institute of Physics -- Physics Today and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.